DIPARTIMENTO DI INFORMATICA
Via Salaria 113 - 00198, Roma

SAPIENZA
UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA

Reti di Elaboratori

Corso di Laurea in Informatica
Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza"
Canale A-L

Prof.ssa Chiara Petrioli

Thanks also to Antonio Capone, Politecnico di Milano, Giuseppe Bianchi and Francesco LoPresti, Un. di Roma Tor Vergata

Fiber to the Home



- Optical links from central office to the home
- Two competing optical technologies:
  - Passive Optical network (PON)
  - Active Optical Network (PAN)
- Much higher Internet rates; fiber also carries television and phone services

Fiber to the Home





- Optical links fi
- Two competing
  - Passive Optical network (PON)
  - Active Optical Network (PAN)
- Much higher Internet rates; fiber also carries television and phone services

cal
Network
unit

Active Optical Networks

- An active optical system uses electrically powered switching equipment, such as a router or a switch aggregator, to manage signal distribution and direct signals to specific customers.
- In such a system, a customer may have a dedicated fiber running to his or her house.



Active vs Passive Optical Networks

- Passive optical networks, or PONs, have some distinct advantages.
  - They're efficient, in that each fiber optic strand can serve up to 32 users
  - PONs have a low building cost relative to active optical networks along with lower maintenance costs. In active optical networks one aggregator is required every 48 subscribers.
- Passive optical networks also have some disadvantages.
  - They have less range than an active optical network.
  - PONs also make it difficult to isolate a failure when they occur.
  - Because the bandwidth in a PON is not dedicated to individual subscribers, data transmission speed may slow down during peak usage times.

Ethernet Internet access



- Typically used in companies, universities, etc
- 10 Mbs, 100Mbps, 1Gbps, 10Gbps Ethernet
- Today, end systems typically connect into Ethernet switch

Wireless access networks

- shared *wireless* access network connects end system to router
  - via base station aka "access point"
- wireless LANs:
  - 802.11b/g (WiFi): 11 or 54 Mbps
- wider-area wireless access
  - provided by telco operator
  - ~1Mbps over cellular system (EVDO, HSDPA), LTE to come
  - next up (?): WiMAX (10's Mbps) over wide area



Home networks

Typical home network components:

- DSL or cable modem
- router/firewall/NAT
- Ethernet
- wireless access point



Physical Media

- Bit: propagates between transmitter/rcvr pairs
- physical link: what lies between transmitter & receiver
- guided media:
  - signals propagate in solid media: copper, fiber, coax
- unguided media:
  - signals propagate freely, e.g., radio

Twisted Pair (TP)

- two insulated copper wires
  - Category 3: traditional phone wires, 10 Mbps Ethernet



  - Category 5: 100Mbps Ethernet



Physical Media: coax, fiber

Coaxial cable:

- two concentric copper conductors
- bidirectional
- baseband:
  - single channel on cable
  - legacy Ethernet
- broadband:
  - multiple channels on cable
  - HFC



http://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Coaxial_cable_cutaway.svg

Fiber optic cable:

- glass fiber carrying light pulses, each pulse a bit
- high-speed operation:
  - high-speed point-to-point transmission (e.g., 10's-100's Gps)
- low error rate: repeaters spaced far apart ; immune to electromagnetic noise

http://www.macmynd.com/storage/misc-pics/fiber_optic_cable.jpg

Physical media: radio

- signal carried in electromagnetic spectrum
- no physical "wire"
- bidirectional
- propagation environment effects:
  - reflection
  - obstruction by objects
  - interference

Radio link types:

- terrestrial microwave
  - e.g. up to 45 Mbps channels
- LAN (e.g., Wifi)
  - 11Mbps, 54 Mbps
- wide-area (e.g., cellular)
  - 3G cellular: ~ 1 Mbps
- satellite
  - Kbps to 45Mbps channel (or multiple smaller channels)
  - 270 msec end-end delay
  - geosynchronous versus low altitude
    - (500 Km dalla superficie terrestre, servono costellazioni di satelliti)

Internet structure: network of networks

- roughly hierarchical
- at center: "tier-1" ISPs (e.g., Verizon, Sprint, AT&T, Cable and Wireless), national/international coverage
  - treat each other as equals



Tier-1 ISP: e.g., Sprint



Internet structure: network of networks

- "Tier-2" ISPs: smaller (often regional) ISPs
  - Connect to one or more tier-1 ISPs, possibly other tier-2 ISPs

Tier-2 ISP pays tier-1 ISP for connectivity to rest of Internet

- tier-2 ISP is *customer* of tier-1 provider



Tier-2 ISPs also peer privately with each other.

Internet structure: network of networks

- "Tier-3" ISPs and local ISPs
  - last hop ("access") network (closest to end systems)



Internet structure: network of networks

- a packet passes through many networks!



A NAP: just another router...?

Pacific Bell S. Francisco NAP



Chapter 1: roadmap

1.1 What *is* the Internet?
1.2 Network edge
1.3 Network core
1.4 Network access and physical media
1.5 Internet structure and ISPs
1.6 Delay & loss in packet-switched networks
1.7 Protocol layers, service models
1.8 History

How do loss and delay occur?

packets *queue* in router buffers

- packet arrival rate to link exceeds output link capacity
- packets queue, wait for turn



Four sources of packet delay

- 1. nodal processing:
  - check bit errors
  - determine output link
- 2. queueing
  - time waiting at output link for transmission
  - depends on congestion level of router



Delay in packet-switched networks

3. Transmission delay:

- R=link bandwidth (bps)
- L=packet length (bits)
- time to send bits into link = L/R

4. Propagation delay:

- d = length of physical link
- s = propagation speed in medium (~2×10^8 m/sec)
- propagation delay = d/s

Note: s and R are *very* different quantities!



Caravan analogy



- cars "propagate" at 100 km/hr
- toll booth takes 12 sec to service car (transmission time)
- car~bit; caravan ~ packet
- Q: How long until caravan is lined up before 2nd toll booth?
- Time to "push" entire caravan through toll booth onto highway = 12*10 = 120 sec
- Time for last car to propagate from 1st to 2nd toll both: 100km/(100km/hr)= 1 hr
- A: 62 minutes

Caravan analogy (more)



- Cars now "propagate" at 1000 km/hr
- Toll booth now takes 1 min to service a car
- Q: Will cars arrive to 2nd booth before all cars serviced at 1st booth?
- Yes! After 7 min, 1st car at 2nd booth and 3 cars still at 1st booth.
- 1st bit of packet can arrive at 2nd router before packet is fully transmitted at 1st router!
  - See Ethernet applet at AWL Web site

Nodal delay

$$d_{nodal} = d_{proc} + d_{queue} + d_{trans} + d_{prop}$$

- d_{proc} = processing delay
  - typically a few microsecs or less
- d_{queue} = queuing delay
  - depends on congestion
- d_{trans} = transmission delay
  - = L/R, significant for low-speed links
- d_{prop} = propagation delay
  - a few microsecs to hundreds of msecs

Delay for each hop!!!

Queueing delay (revisited)

- R=link bandwidth (bps)
- L=packet length (bits)
- a=average packet arrival rate

traffic intensity = La/R



- La/R ~ 0: average queueing delay small
- La/R -> 1: delays become large
- La/R > 1: more "work" arriving than can be serviced, average delay infinite!

Packet loss

- queue (→buffer) preceding link in buffer has finite capacity
- when packet arrives to full queue, packet is dropped (→lost)
- lost packet may be retransmitted by previous node, by source end system, or not retransmitted at all





"Real" Internet delays and routes

- What do "real" Internet delay & loss look like?
- Trace route program: provides delay measurement from source to router along end-end Internet path towards destination. For all *i*:
  - sends three packets that will reach router *i* on path towards destination
  - router *i* will return packets to sender
  - sender times interval between transmission and reply.



"Real" Internet delays and routes

traceroute: gaia.cs.umass.edu to www.eurecom.fr

Three delay measements from gaia.cs.umass.edu to cs-gw.cs.umass.edu

1 cs-gw (128.119.240.254) 1 ms 1 ms 2 ms
2 border1-rt-fa5-1-0.gw.umass.edu (128.119.3.145) 1 ms 1 ms 2 ms
3 cht-vbns.gw.umass.edu (128.119.3.130) 6 ms 5 ms 5 ms
4 jn1-at1-0-0-19.wor.vbns.net (204.147.132.129) 16 ms 11 ms 13 ms
5 jn1-so7-0-0-0.wae.vbns.net (204.147.136.136) 21 ms 18 ms 18 ms
6 abilene-vbns.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.11.9) 22 ms 18 ms 22 ms
7 nycm-wash.abilene.ucaid.edu (198.32.8.46) 22 ms 22 ms 22 ms
8 62.40.103.253 (62.40.103.253) 104 ms 109 ms 106 ms
9 de2-1.de1.de.geant.net (62.40.96.129) 109 ms 102 ms 104 ms
10 de.fr1.fr.geant.net (62.40.96.50) 113 ms 121 ms 114 ms
11 renater-gw.fr1.fr.geant.net (62.40.103.54) 112 ms 114 ms 112 ms
12 nio-n2.cssi.renater.fr (193.51.206.13) 111 ms 114 ms 116 ms
13 nice.cssi.renater.fr (195.220.98.102) 123 ms 125 ms 124 ms
14 r3t2-nice.cssi.renater.fr (195.220.98.110) 126 ms 126 ms 124 ms
15 eurecom-valbonne.r3t2.ft.net (193.48.50.54) 135 ms 128 ms 133 ms
16 194.214.211.25 (194.214.211.25) 126 ms 128 ms 126 ms
17 * * *
18 * * *
19 fantasia.eurecom.fr (193.55.113.142) 132 ms 128 ms 136 ms

trans-oceanic link

* means no reponse (probe lost, router not replying)

Name and address of router, round trip delays (3 samples)

Throughput

- *throughput:* rate (bits/time unit) at which bits transferred between sender/receiver
  - *instantaneous:* rate at given point in time
  - *average:* rate over longer period of time



Throughput (more)

- $R_s < R_c$ What is average end-end throughput?



- $R_s > R_c$ What is average end-end throughput?



bottleneck link

link on end-end path that constrains end-end throughput

Throughput: Internet scenario

- per-connection end-end throughput: $min(R_c, R_s, R/10)$
- in practice: R_c or R_s is often bottleneck



10 connections (fairly) share backbone bottleneck link R bits/sec

Chapter 1: roadmap

Protocol "Layers"

Networks are complex!

- many "pieces":
  - hosts
  - routers
  - links of various media
  - applications
  - protocols
  - hardware, software

Question:

Is there any hope of *organizing* structure of network?

Or at least our discussion of networks?

Layering



Sistema A
Sistema B
Strato piu' elevato
Sottosistemi omologhi
Strato di rango N
Strato piu' basso
Mezzi trasmissivi

Organization of air travel



- a series of steps

Organization of air travel: a different view

ticket (purchase)	ticket (complain)
baggage (check)	baggage (claim)
gates (load)	gates (unload)
runway takeoff	runway landing
airplane routing	airplane routing
airplane routing	

Layers: each layer implements a service

- via its own internal-layer actions
- relying on services provided by layer below

Layered air travel: services

Counter-to-counter delivery of person+bags
baggage-claim-to-baggage-claim delivery
people transfer: loading gate to arrival gate
runway-to-runway delivery of plane
airplane routing from source to destination

Distributed implementation of layer functionality



Why layering?

Dealing with complex systems:

- explicit structure allows identification, relationship of complex system's pieces
  - layered reference model for discussion
- modularization eases maintenance, updating of system
  - change of implementation of layer's service transparent to rest of system
  - e.g., change in gate procedure doesn't affect rest of system (I.e. if baggage check and claim procedures changed due to Sept 11th or if the boarding rules change, boarding people by age)
- layering considered harmful?

Internet protocol stack

- **application:** supporting network applications
  - FTP, SMTP, HTTP
- **transport:** host-host data transfer
  - TCP, UDP
- **network:** routing of datagrams from source to destination
  - IP, routing protocols
- **link:** data transfer between neighboring network elements
  - PPP, Ethernet
- **physical:** bits "on the wire"



Typically in HW

Typically SW

Layering: logical communication

Each layer:

- distributed
- "entities" implement layer functions at each node
- entities perform actions, exchange messages with peers



Layering: *logical* communication

E.g.: transport

- take data from app
- add addressing, reliability check info to form "datagram"
- send datagram to peer
- wait for peer to ack receipt
- analogy: post office



Layering: physical communication



Protocol layering and data

Each layer takes data from above

- adds header information to create new data unit
- passes new data unit to layer below



Layering: pros

- Vantaggi della stratificazione
  - Modularita'
    - Semplicita' di design
    - Possibilita' di modificare un modulo in modo trasparente se le interfacce con gli altri livelli rimangono le stesse
    - Possibilita' per ciascun costruttore di adottare la propria implementazione di un livello purche' requisiti su interfacce soddisfatti
  - Gestione dell'eterogeneita'
    - Possibili moduli 'diversi' per realizzare lo stesso insieme di funzioni, che riflettano l'eterogeneita' dei sistemi coinvolti (e.g. diverse tecnologie trasmissive, LAN, collegamenti punto-punto, ATM etc.)
    - Moduli distinti possibili/necessari anche se le reti adottassero tutte la stessa tecnologia di rete perche' ad esempio le applicazioni possono avere requisiti diversi (es. UDP e TCP). All'inizio TCP ed IP erano integrati. Perche' adesso sono su due livelli distinti?

Layering: cons

- Svantaggi della stratificazione
  - A volte modularita' inficia efficienza
  - A volte necessario scambio di informazioni tra livelli non adiacenti non rispettando principio della stratificazione