

Chapter 5 Data Link Layer

Reti di Elaboratori Corso di Laurea in Informatica Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza" Canale A-L

Prof.ssa Chiara Petrioli

Parte di queste slide sono state prese dal materiale associato al libro *Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach*, 5th edition.
All material copyright 1996-2009
J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved
Thanks also to Antonio Capone, Politecnico di Milano, Giuseppe Bianchi and Francesco LoPresti, Un. di Roma Tor Vergata

<u>Chapter 5: The Data Link Layer</u>

<u>Our goals:</u>

understand principles behind data link layer services:

- error detection, correction
- o sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
- o link layer addressing
- reliable data transfer, flow control: *done!*
- instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies

Link Layer

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 Link-layer Addressing
- 5.5 Ethernet

- **5.6** Link-layer switches
- **5.7** PPP
- 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

Link Layer: Introduction

Some terminology:

- hosts and routers are nodes
- communication channels that connect adjacent nodes along communication path are links
 - o wired links
 - o wireless links
 - o LANs
- layer-2 packet is a frame, encapsulates datagram

data-link layer has responsibility of transferring datagram from one node to adjacent node over a link



Link layer: context

- datagram transferred by different link protocols over different links:
 - e.g., Ethernet on first link, frame relay on intermediate links, 802.11 on last link
- each link protocol provides different services
 - e.g., may or may not provide rdt over link

transportation analogy

- trip from Princeton to Lausanne
 - O limo: Princeton to JFK
 - o plane: JFK to Geneva
 - o train: Geneva to Lausanne
- tourist = datagram
- transport segment = communication link
- transportation mode = link layer protocol
- travel agent = routing
 algorithm

- **Framing:** understand where a frame starts and ends
- Iink access
 - channel access if shared medium
 - avoids or limits the effect of collisions over a broadcast channel
- addressing
 - "MAC" addresses used in frame headers to identify source, dest
 - different from IP address!
- error detection:
 - errors caused by signal attenuation, noise.
 - receiver detects presence of errors:
 - signals sender for retransmission or drops frame
- error correction:
 - receiver identifies and corrects bit error(s) without resorting to retransmission
- half-duplex and full-duplex
 - with half duplex, nodes at both ends of link can transmit, but not at same time

Link Layer Services (more)

reliable delivery between adjacent nodes

- we learned how to do this already (chapter 3)!
- seldom used on low bit-error link (fiber, some twisted pair)
- o wireless links: high error rates
 - Q: why both link-level and end-end reliability?
- **flow** control:
 - pacing between adjacent sending and receiving nodes

Where is the link layer implemented?

 $\hfill\square$ in each and every host

- link layer implemented in "adaptor" (aka *network interface card* NIC)
 - Ethernet card, PCMCI card, 802.11 card
 - implements link, physical layer
- attaches into host's system buses
- combination of hardware, software, firmware



Adaptors Communicating



□ sending side:

- encapsulates datagram in frame
- adds error checking bits, rdt, flow control, etc.

receiving side

- looks for errors, rdt, flow control, etc
- extracts datagram, passes to upper layer at receiving side

Link Layer Services--framing

- PHY layer accepts only a raw bit stream and attempts to deliver to destination
 0110001100001100000000001001100000100001
 - Communication is not necessarily error free
 - Multiplexing of different flows of information

 → Data link layer breaks the bit stream up into discrete
 frames (FRAMING) and computes the checksum for each
 frame (ERROR DETECTION)

Framing:

- encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
- How to delimit frames:
 - We cannot count on some time gap (strong synch requirement) and jitter requirement)
 - <u>Character count</u>: A field in the header specifies the number of characters in the frame (OK but loose synch in case of transmission error)
 - <u>Starting and ending characters with character stuffing</u>
 - ES ASCII character sequence DLE STX (Data Link Escape Start of TeXt)...DLE ETX (ETX=End of TeXt)
 - What if binary data are transmitted with sequences corresponding to DLE STX or SLE ETX occurring in the data?
 - Character stuffing: before transmitting add DLE before each of
 such sequences in the data: DLE STX→DLE DLE STX

Framing:

- encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
- How to delimit frames:
 - Starting and ending flags with bit stuffing
 - Each frame begins and ends with a special bit pattern, e.g. 01111110 (flag sequence)
 - Techniques to avoid problems in case the flag sequence appears in data: whenever data link layer encounters five consecutive ones in the data add a 0 bit in the outgoing bit stream (removed at the other end of the link)→bit stuffing
 - Es.: (a) 0110111111111111110010
 - (b) 011011111**0**11111**0**11111**0**10010



Framing:

- encapsulate datagram into frame, adding header, trailer
- How to delimit frames:
 - <u>Physical layer coding variations</u>
 - For instance if Manchester encoding used a High-High or Low-Low sequence
 - A combination of character count and one of the other typically used

Link Layer

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 Link-layer Addressing
- 5.5 Ethernet

- **5.6** Link-layer switches
- **5.7** PPP
- 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

Error Detection

EDC= Error Detection and Correction bits (redundancy)

- D = Data protected by error checking, may include header fields
- Error detection not 100% reliable!
 - protocol may miss some errors, but rarely
 - larger EDC field yields better detection and correction



<u>Distanza di Hamming</u>

- Date due parole codice e.g., 10001001 e 10110001 è possibile determinare in quanti bit 'differiscano' (XOR delle due parole e contate il numero di 1 del risultato)
 - Il numero di posizioni nelle quali le due parole di codice differiscono determina la loro distanza di Hamming
 - Se due parole codice hanno una distanza di Hamming d ci vorranno d errori sui singoli bit per tramutare una parola di codice nell'altra
 - Per come sono usati i bit di ridondanza se la lunghezza delle parole di codice è n=m+r sono possibili 2^m messaggi dati ma non tutte le 2ⁿ parole codice
 - la distanza di Hamming di un codice è la minima distanza di Hamming tra due parole codice

<u>Distanza di Hamming</u>

- Date due parole codice e.g., 10001001 e 10110001 è possibile determinare in quanti bit 'differiscano' (XOR delle due parole e contate il numero di 1 del risultato)
 - Per come sono usati i bit di ridondanza se la lunghezza delle parole di codice è n=m+r sono possibili 2^m messaggi dati ma non tutti 2ⁿ parole codice
 - la distanza di Hamming di un codice è la minima distanza di Hamming tra due parole codice
 - Per fare il detection di d errori serve un codice con distanza di Hamming d+1
 - Per correggere d errori serve un codice con distanza di Hamming 2d+1

Parity Checking

Single Bit Parity: Detect single bit errors



Schema di parità dispari: Il mittente include un bit addizionale e sceglie il suo valore in modo che il numero di uno nei d+1 bit sia dispari

Two Dimensional Bit Parity:

Detect and correct single bit errors

				10.00
				parity
	d _{1,1}		d _{1,j}	d _{1, j+1}
column parity	d _{2,1}		$d_{2,j}$	d _{2,j+1}
	d _{i,1}		d _{i,j}	d _{i,j+1}
	d _{i+1,1}		d _{i+1} ,	j d _{i+1,j+1}
101011		1011		
111100		101100→ parity error		
011101		011101		
001010		0 ¢)101(C
no errors		parity error		

correctable single bit error

row

5: Datatakkk Lagver 55-18



- 2^m messaggi legali
- Ciascuna parola codice legale ne ha n a distanza 1
- Ciascuno dei 2^m messaggi legali deve avere (n+1) sequenze di bit a lui associate

- o n=m+r
- (m+r+1) <=2^r
- \rightarrow Lower bound su r

Parity Checking



Schemi semplici possono essere sufficienti nel caso di errori casuali Cosa si può fare nel caso di errori a burst? ·Maggiore ridondanza

Interleaving

row parity

d_{2,j+1}

error

Internet checksum (review)

<u>Goal:</u> detect "errors" (e.g., flipped bits) in transmitted packet (note: used at transport layer *only*)

<u>Sender:</u>

- treat segment contents as sequence of 16-bit integers
- checksum: addition (1's complement sum) of segment contents
- sender puts checksum value into UDP checksum field

Receiver:

- compute checksum of received segment
- check if computed checksum equals checksum field value:
 - NO error detected
 - YES no error detected.
 But maybe errors nonetheless?

Checksumming: Cyclic Redundancy Check

- □ view data bits, **D**, as a binary number
- choose r+1 bit pattern (generator), G
- □ goal: choose r CRC bits, **R**, such that
 - <D,R> exactly divisible by G (modulo 2)
 - receiver knows G, divides <D,R> by G. If non-zero remainder: error detected!
 - can detect all burst errors less than r+1 bits
- widely used in practice (Ethernet, 802.11 WiFi, ATM)

<u>CRC</u>

- □ r è l'ordine del polinomio generatore G(x)
- Appendi r bit zero al messaggio M(x) che ora corrisponde a x^r M(x)
- dividi x^rM(x) per G(x) modulo 2
- Sottrai (modulo 2) il resto della divisione da x^rM(x)→ si ottiene T(x), il risultato da trasmettere
- In ricezione controlla che il resto della divisione per G(x) sia 0
- Estrai la parte di messaggio M(x)
- →Individua un burst di fino a r errori

Prestazioni di CRC

5: DataLink Layer 5a-24

Link Layer

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 Link-layer Addressing
- 5.5 Ethernet

- **5.6** Link-layer switches
- **5.7** PPP
- 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

<u>Multiple Access Links and Protocols</u>

- Two types of "links":
- point-to-point
 - O PPP for dial-up access
 - o point-to-point link between Ethernet switch and host
- broadcast (shared wire or medium)
 - old-fashioned Ethernet
 - upstream HFC
 - o 802.11 wireless LAN



<u>Multiple Access protocols</u>

- single shared broadcast channel
- two or more simultaneous transmissions by nodes: interference

 collision if node receives two or more signals at the same time <u>multiple access protocol</u>

- distributed algorithm that determines how nodes share channel, i.e., determine when node can transmit
- communication about channel sharing must use channel itself!
 - no out-of-band channel for coordination

Ideal Multiple Access Protocol

Broadcast channel of rate R bps

- 1. when one node wants to transmit, it can send at rate R.
- 2. when M nodes want to transmit, each can send at average rate R/M
- 3. fully decentralized:
 - no special node to coordinate transmissions
 - no synchronization of clocks, slots
- 4. simple

MAC Protocols: a taxonomy

Three broad classes:

- Channel Partitioning
 - divide channel into smaller "pieces" (time slots, frequency, code)
 - allocate piece to node for exclusive use

Random Access

- channel not divided, allow collisions
- "recover" from collisions

"Taking turns"

 nodes take turns, but nodes with more to send can take longer turns

Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: TDMA

TDMA: time division multiple access

- access to channel in "rounds"
- each station gets fixed length slot (length = pkt trans time) in each round
- unused slots go idle
- example: 6-station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, slots 2,5,6 idle



Channel Partitioning MAC protocols: FDMA

FDMA: frequency division multiple access

- channel spectrum divided into frequency bands
- each station assigned fixed frequency band
- unused transmission time in frequency bands go idle
- example: 6-station LAN, 1,3,4 have pkt, frequency bands 2,5,6 idle



5: Datatahiki Lagyar 55-31

<u>TDMA/FDMA Vs. Ideal Multiple</u> <u>Access Protocol</u>

Broadcast channel of rate R bps

- when one node wants to transmit, it can send at rate R. → NOT MET BY TDMA/FDMA
- 2. when M nodes want to transmit, each can send at average rate $R/M \rightarrow MET BY TDMA/FDMA$
- 3. fully decentralized:
 - o no special node to coordinate transmissions
 - no synchronization of clocks, slots

4. simple

Random Access Protocols

When node has packet to send

- transmit at full channel data rate R.
- no *a priori* coordination among nodes
- \Box two or more transmitting nodes \rightarrow "collision",
- random access MAC protocol specifies:
 - o how to detect collisions
 - how to recover from collisions (e.g., via delayed retransmissions)
- Examples of random access MAC protocols:
 - o slotted ALOHA
 - o aloha
 - CSMA, CSMA/CD, CSMA/CA

Slotted ALOHA

<u>Assumptions:</u>

- all frames same size
- time divided into equal size slots (time to transmit 1 frame)
- nodes start to transmit only slot beginning
- nodes are synchronized
- if 2 or more nodes transmit in slot, all nodes detect collision

Operation:

- when node obtains fresh frame, transmits in next slot
 - *if no collision:* node can send new frame in next slot
 - *if collision:* node retransmits frame in each subsequent slot with prob. p until success

Slotted ALOHA



Pros

- single active node can continuously transmit at full rate of channel
- highly decentralized: only slots in nodes need to be in sync

simple

<u>Cons</u>

- collisions, wasting slots
- idle slots
- nodes may be able to detect collision in less than time to transmit packet
- clock synchronization

Slotted Aloha efficiency

Efficiency : long-run fraction of successful slots (many nodes, all with many frames to send)

- suppose: N nodes with many frames to send, each transmits in slot with probability p
- prob that given node has success in a slot = p(1-p)^{N-1}
- prob that any node has a success = Np(1-p)^{N-1}

- max efficiency: find p* that maximizes Np(1-p)^{N-1}
- for many nodes, take limit of Np*(1-p*)^{N-1} as N goes to infinity, gives:

Max efficiency = 1/e = .37

At best: channel used for useful transmissions 37% of time!
Slotted Aloha efficiency

Efficiency : long-run fraction of successful slots (many nodes, all with many frames to send)

- suppose: N nodes with many frames to send, each transmits in slot with probability p
- prob that given node has success in a slot = p(1-p)^{N-1}
- prob that any node has a success = Np(1-p)^{N-1}

- max efficiency: find p* that maximizes Np(1-p)^{N-1}
- for many nodes, take limit of Np*(1-p*)^{N-1} as N goes to infinity, gives:

Max efficiency = 1/e = .37

At best: channel used for useful transmissions 37% of time!

Pure (unslotted) ALOHA

- unslotted Aloha: simpler, no synchronization
- when frame first arrives
 - o transmit immediately
- collision probability increases:
 - frame sent at t_0 collides with other frames sent in $[t_0-1,t_0+1]$



Pure Aloha efficiency

P(success by given node) = P(node transmits) ·

P(no other node transmits in $[p_0-1,p_0]$ · P(no other node transmits in $[p_0-1,p_0]$ = $p \cdot (1-p)^{N-1} \cdot (1-p)^{N-1}$ = $p \cdot (1-p)^{2(N-1)}$

... choosing optimum p and then letting n -> infty ...

= 1/(2e) = .18

even worse than slotted Aloha!

CSMA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access)

<u>CSMA</u>: listen before transmit:
If channel sensed idle: transmit entire frame
If channel sensed busy, defer transmission

human analogy: don't interrupt others!

CSMA collisions

collisions can still occur:

propagation delay means two nodes may not hear each other's transmission

collision:

entire packet transmission time wasted

note:

role of distance & propagation delay in determining collision probability



<u>CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)</u>

CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA

- o collisions detected within short time
- colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel wastage
- collision detection:
 - easy in wired LANs: measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
 - difficult in wireless LANs: received signal strength overwhelmed by local transmission strength
- human analogy: the polite conversationalist

CSMA/CD collision detection



5: 15a Patahik Lagrer 55-43

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

channel partitioning MAC protocols:

- o share channel efficiently and fairly at high load
- inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, 1/N bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!

Random access MAC protocols

- efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- o high load: collision overhead
- "taking turns" protocols
 - look for best of both worlds!

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

Polling:

- master node "invites" slave nodes to transmit in turn
- typically used with "dumb" slave devices
- 🗆 concerns:
 - o polling overhead
 - o latency
 - single point of failure (master)



slaves

"Taking Turns" MAC protocols

Token passing:

- control token passed from one node to next sequentially.
- 🗆 token message
- **concerns**:
 - o token overhead
 - latency
 - single point of failure (token)



Summary of MAC protocols

channel partitioning, by time, frequency or code

• Time Division, Frequency Division

random access (dynamic),

- ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD
- carrier sensing: easy in some technologies (wire), hard in others (wireless)
- O CSMA/CD used in Ethernet
- CSMA/CA used in 802.11
- **taking turns**
 - polling from central site, token passing
 - Bluetooth, FDDI, IBM Token Ring

LAN Addresses and ARP

32-bit IP address:

- network-layer address
- used to get datagram to destination IP network (recall IP network definition)

LAN (or MAC or physical or Ethernet) address:

- used to get datagram from one interface to another physically-connected interface (same network)
- 48 bit MAC address (for most LANs) burned in the adapter ROM

LAN Addresses and ARP

Each adapter on LAN has unique LAN address



5: DataLink Layer 5a-49

LAN Address (more)

- MAC address allocation administered by IEEE
- manufacturer buys portion of MAC address space (to assure uniqueness)
- □ Analogy:

(a) MAC address: like Social Security Number(b) IP address: like postal address

- MAC flat address => portability
 - \odot can move LAN card from one LAN to another
- **IP** hierarchical address NOT portable
 - depends on IP network to which node is attached

Recall earlier routing discussion



^{5:} DataLink Layer 5a-51

ARP: Address Resolution Protocol

Question: how to determine MAC address of B knowing B's IP address?



- Each IP node (Host, Router) on LAN has ARP table
- ARP Table: IP/MAC address mappings for some LAN nodes
 - < IP address; MAC address; TTL>
 - TTL (Time To Live): time after which address mapping will be forgotten (typically 20 min)

ARP protocol

- A wants to send datagram to B, and A knows B's IP address.
- Suppose B's MAC address is not in A's ARP table.
- A broadcasts ARP query packet, containing B's IP address
 - all machines on LAN receive ARP query
- B receives ARP packet, replies to A with its (B's) MAC address
 - frame sent to A's MAC address (unicast)

- A caches (saves) IP-to-MAC address pair in its ARP table until information becomes old (times out)
 - soft state: information that times out (goes away) unless refreshed
 - USED to save ARP messages: <u>if a receive an</u> <u>ARP message I cache all</u> <u>the informations</u> associated to it
- □ ARP is "plug-and-play":
 - nodes create their ARP tables without intervention from net administrator

Addressing: routing to another LAN

walkthrough: send datagram from A to B via R assume A knows B's IP address



two ARP tables in router R, one for each IP network (LAN)

5: Datatakkk bayer 55=54

- □ A creates IP datagram with source A, destination B
- □ A uses ARP to get R's MAC address for 111.111.111.110
- A creates link-layer frame with R's MAC address as dest, frame contains A-to-B IP datagram
 This is a really important
- □ A's NIC sends frame
- □ R's NIC receives frame
- ne me from Fthernet frame sees its
- R removes IP datagram from Ethernet frame, sees its destined to B
- **R** uses ARP to get B's MAC address
- **R** creates frame containing A-to-B IP datagram sends to B



Link Layer

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing
- 5.5 Ethernet

- **5.6** Link-layer switches
- **5.7** PPP
- 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

Ethernet

- "dominant" wired LAN technology:
- □ cheap \$20 for NIC
- first widely used LAN technology
- simpler, cheaper than token LANs and ATM
- kept up with speed race: 10 Mbps 10 Gbps



Metcalfe's Ethernet sketch

5: Datatakkklager 55=57

<u>Star topology</u>

- bus topology popular through mid 90s
 - all nodes in same collision domain (can collide with each other)
- today: star topology prevails
 - o active *switch* in center
 - each "spoke" runs a (separate) Ethernet protocol (nodes do not collide with each other)



Ethernet Frame Structure

Sending adapter encapsulates IP datagram (or other network layer protocol packet) in Ethernet frame



Preamble:

- 7 bytes with pattern 10101010 followed by one byte with pattern 10101011
- used to synchronize receiver, sender clock rates

Ethernet Frame Structure (more)

□ Addresses: 6 bytes

 if adapter receives frame with matching destination address, or with broadcast address (eg ARP packet), it passes data in frame to network layer protocol

• otherwise, adapter discards frame

- Type: indicates higher layer protocol (mostly IP but others possible, e.g., Novell IPX, AppleTalk)
- CRC: checked at receiver, if error is detected, frame is dropped



Ethernet: Unreliable, connectionless

- connectionless: No handshaking between sending and receiving NICs
- unreliable: receiving NIC doesn't send acks or nacks to sending NIC
 - stream of datagrams passed to network layer can have gaps (missing datagrams)
 - gaps will be filled if app is using TCP
 - otherwise, app will see gaps
- Ethernet's MAC protocol: unslotted CSMA/CD

Ethernet CSMA/CD algorithm

- 1. NIC receives datagram from network layer, creates frame
- 2. If NIC senses channel idle, starts frame transmission If NIC senses channel busy, waits until channel idle, then transmits
- 3. If NIC transmits entire frame without detecting another transmission, NIC is done with frame !

- 4. If NIC detects another transmission while transmitting, aborts and sends jam signal
- 5. After aborting, NIC enters exponential backoff: after mth collision, NIC chooses K at random from {0,1,2,...,2^m-1}. NIC waits K·512 bit times, returns to Step 2

Ethernet's CSMA/CD (more)

Jam Signal: make sure all other transmitters are aware of collision; 48 bits Bit time: .1 microsec for 10 Mbps Ethernet ; for K=1023, wait time is about 50 msec

Exponential Backoff:

- Goal: adapt retransmission attempts to estimated current load
 - heavy load: random wait will be longer
- first collision: choose K from {0,1}; delay is K· 512 bit transmission times
- after second collision: choose K from {0,1,2,3}...
- after ten collisions, choose K
 from {0,1,2,3,4,...,1023}

<u>CSMA/CD efficiency</u>

T_{prop} = max prop delay between 2 nodes in LAN
 t_{trans} = time to transmit max-size frame

$$efficiency = \frac{1}{1 + 5t_{prop}/t_{trans}}$$

- efficiency goes to 1
 - \bigcirc as t_{prop} goes to 0
 - \bigcirc as t_{trans} goes to infinity
- better performance than ALOHA: and simple, cheap, decentralized!

802.3 Ethernet Standards: Link & Physical Layers

many different Ethernet standards

- o common MAC protocol and frame format
- different speeds: 2 Mbps, 10 Mbps, 100 Mbps, 1Gbps, 10G bps
- different physical layer media: fiber, cable



Manchester encoding



- used in 10BaseT
- each bit has a transition
- allows clocks in sending and receiving nodes to synchronize to each other

o no need for a centralized, global clock among nodes!

Hey, this is physical-layer stuff!

Ethernet: some numbers..

- Slot time 512 bit times (di riferimento, la tras missione NON e' slottizzata!!)
- Interframegap 9.6 micros
- Number of times max for retrasnmitting a frame
 16
- Backoff limit (2 backoff limit indicates max length of the backoff interval): 10
- Jam size: 48 bits
- Max frame size: 1518 bytes
- Min frame size 64 bytes (512 bits)
- Address size: 48 bits

Link Layer

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 Link-layer Addressing
- 5.5 Ethernet

- 5.6 Link-layer switches, LANs, VLANs
- **5.7** PPP
- 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

<u>Hubs</u>

... physical-layer ("dumb") repeaters:

- bits coming in one link go out all other links at same rate
- all nodes connected to hub can collide with one another
- o no frame buffering
- no CSMA/CD at hub: host NICs detect collisions



<u>Switch</u>

Ink-layer device: smarter than hubs, take active role

o store, forward Ethernet frames

 examine incoming frame's MAC address, selectively forward frame to one-or-more outgoing links when frame is to be forwarded on segment, uses CSMA/CD to access segment

transparent

o hosts are unaware of presence of switches

plug-and-play, self-learning

switches do not need to be configured

<u>Switch: allows multiple simultaneous</u> <u>transmissions</u>

- hosts have dedicated, direct connection to switch
- **switches** buffer packets
- Ethernet protocol used on each incoming link, but no collisions; full duplex
 - each link is its own collision domain
- switching: A-to-A' and Bto-B' simultaneously, without collisions
 not possible with dumb hub



switch with six interfaces (1,2,3,4,5,6)

Switch Table

- Q: how does switch know that
 A' reachable via interface 4,
 B' reachable via interface 5?
- A: each switch has a switch table, each entry:
 - (MAC address of host, interface to reach host, time stamp)
- Iooks like a routing table!
- A state of the second s
 - something like a routing protocol?



switch with six interfaces (1,2,3,4,5,6)
Switch: self-learning

- switch *learns* which hosts can be reached through which interfaces
 - when frame received, switch "learns" location of sender: incoming LAN segment
 - records sender/location pair in switch table



MAC addr	interface	TTL
A	1	60

Switch table (initially empty) Switch: frame filtering/forwarding

When frame received:

- 1. record link associated with sending host
- 2. index switch table using MAC dest address
- 3. if entry found for destination
 then {
 - if dest on segment from which frame arrived then drop the frame

else forward the frame on interface indicated

else flood

forward on all but the interface on which the frame arrived <u>Self-learning</u>, <u>forwarding</u>: <u>example</u>

- frame destination unknown: *flood*
- destination A location known: selective send



Interconnecting switches

switches can be connected together



- □ <u>Q</u>: sending from A to G how does S_1 know to forward frame destined to F via S_4 and S_3 ?
- A: self learning! (works exactly the same as in single-switch case!)

Self-learning multi-switch example

Suppose C sends frame to I, I responds to C



□ Q: show switch tables and packet forwarding in S₁, S₂, S₃, S₄

Institutional network



Switches vs. Routers

- both store-and-forward devices
 - routers: network layer devices (examine network layer headers)
 - switches are link layer devices
- routers maintain routing tables, implement routing algorithms
- switches maintain switch tables, implement filtering, learning algorithms



^{5:} Datatahirk Laguer 55-79

Link Layer

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing
- 5.5 Ethernet

- **5.6** Link-layer switches
- **5.7** PPP
- 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

Synthesis: a day in the life of a web request

- journey down protocol stack complete!
 application, transport, network, link
- putting-it-all-together: synthesis!
 - goal: identify, review, understand protocols (at all layers) involved in seemingly simple scenario: requesting www page
 - scenario: student attaches laptop to campus network, requests/receives www.google.com



5: Datatahiki Laguar 55=82

A day in the life ... connecting to the Internet



- connecting laptop needs to get its own IP address, addr of first-hop router, addr of DNS server: use
 DHCP
- DHCP request encapsulated in UDP, encapsulated in IP, encapsulated in 802.1 Ethernet
- Ethernet frame broadcast (dest: FFFFFFFFFFF) on LAN, received at router running DHCP server
- Ethernet demux'ed to IP demux'ed, UDP demux'ed to DHCP

A day in the life ... connecting to the Internet



- DHCP server formulates DHCP ACK containing client's IP address, IP address of first-hop router for client, name & IP address of DNS server
- encapsulation at DHCP server, frame forwarded (*switch learning*) through LAN, demultiplexing at client
- DHCP client receives DHCP ACK reply

Client now has IP address, knows name & addr of DNS server, IP address of its first-hop router

A day in the life... ARP (before DNS, before HTTP)



- before sending *HTTP* request, need IP address of www.google.com: *DNS*
- DNS query created, encapsulated in UDP, encapsulated in IP, encasulated in Eth. In order to send frame to router, need MAC address of router interface: ARP
- ARP query broadcast, received by router, which replies with ARP reply giving MAC address of router interface
- client now knows MAC address of first hop router, so can now send frame containing DNS query



- IP datagram containing DNS query forwarded via LAN switch from client to 1st hop router
- IP datagram forwarded from campus network into comcast network, routed (tables created by RIP, OSPF, IS-IS and/or BGP routing protocols) to DNS server
- demux' ed to DNS server
- DNS server replies to client with IP address of www.google.com BatatahikeLequer 55-86

A day in the life... TCP connection carrying HTTP



5: Datatahiki Laguar 55-87



Chapter 5: Summary

- principles behind data link layer services:
 - error detection, correction
 - sharing a broadcast channel: multiple access
 - o link layer addressing
- instantiation and implementation of various link layer technologies
 - Ethernet
 - o switched LANS, VLANs
 - O PPP
 - o virtualized networks as a link layer: MPLS
- ⊐ synthesis: a day in the life of a web request

<u>Chapter 5: let's take a breath</u>

- journey down protocol stack complete (except PHY)
- solid understanding of networking principles, practice
- I could stop here but *lots* of interesting topics!
 - o wireless
 - o multimedia
 - o security
 - network management

<u>Chapter 5 outline</u>

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 LAN addresses and ARP
- 5.5 Ethernet

- 5.6 Hubs, bridges, and switches
- 5.7 Wireless links and LANs
- **5.8** PPP
- **5.9 ATM**
- **5.10** Frame Relay

IEEE 802.11 Wireless LAN

802.11b

- 2.4-5 GHz unlicensed radio spectrum
- o up to 11 Mbps
- direct sequence spread spectrum (DSSS) in physical layer
 - all hosts use same chipping code
- widely deployed, using base stations

802.11a

- 5-6 GHz range
- o up to 54 Mbps
- **3** 802.11g
 - O 2.4-5 GHz range
 - up to 54 Mbps
- All use CSMA/CA for multiple access
- All have base-station and ad-hoc network versions

Base station approch

- Wireless host communicates with a base station
 base station = access point (AP)
- □ Basic Service Set (BSS) (a.k.a. "cell") contains:
 - o wireless hosts
 - o access point (AP): base station
- BSS's combined to form distribution system (DS)



Ad Hoc Network approach

- No AP (i.e., base station): IBSS (independent Basic Service Set)
- wireless hosts communicate with each other
 - to get packet from wireless host A to B may need to route through wireless hosts X,Y,Z
- Applications:
 - "laptop" meeting in conference room, car
 - interconnection of "personal" devices
 - o battlefield
- IETF MANET (Mobile Ad hoc Networks) working group



IEEE 802.11: multiple access

- Collision if 2 or more nodes within transmission range transmit at same time
- **CSMA** makes sense:
 - get all the bandwidth if you're the only one transmitting
 - \odot shouldn't cause a collision if you sense another transmission
- Collision detection has problems (send and receive simoultaneously not allowed) and doesn't work: hidden terminal problem



IEEE 802.11 MAC Protocol: CSMA/CA

802.11 CSMA: sender

 if sense channel idle for DISF (Distributed Interframe Space) sec. then transmit entire frame (no collision detection)

-if sense channel busy then binary backoff (waits until channel sensed idle + random interval selected according to binary backoff rules)

802.11 CSMA receiver

- if received OK

return ACK after SIFS(Short InterFrame Spacing) (ACK is needed due to hidden terminal problem)



<u>Collision avoidance mechanisms</u>

Problem:

- two nodes, hidden from each other, transmit complete frames to base station
- o wasted bandwidth for long duration !
- **Solution**:
 - small reservation packets
 - nodes track reservation interval with internal "network allocation vector" (NAV)

<u>Collision Avoidance: RTS-CTS</u> <u>exchange</u>

- sender transmits short RTS (request to send) packet: indicates duration of transmission
- receiver replies with short CTS (clear to send) packet
 - notifying (possibly hidden) nodes
- hidden nodes will not transmit for specified duration: NAV



<u>Collision Avoidance: RTS-CTS</u> <u>exchange</u>

 RTS and CTS short:
 collisions less likely, of shorter duration
 end result similar to collision detection

- □ IEEE 802.11 allows:
 - O CSMA
 - OCSMA/CA: reservations
 - o polling from AP



A word about Bluetooth

- Low-power, small radius, Interference from wireless networking technology
 - 10-100 meters
- omnidirectional
 - o not line-of-sight infared
- Interconnects gadgets
- □ 2.4-2.5 GHz unlicensed radio band
- □ up to 721 kbps

- wireless LANs, digital cordless phones, microwave ovens:
 - frequency hopping helps
- □ MAC protocol supports:
 - error correction
 - **O** ARQ
- Each node has a 12-bit address

Channel Partitioning (CDMA)

CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access)

- unique "code" assigned to each user; i.e., code set partitioning
- used mostly in wireless broadcast channels (cellular, satellite, etc)
- all users share same frequency, but each user has own "chipping" sequence (i.e., code) to encode data
- M chips = 1 bit time. Ex. Of chipping sequence: 00011011. To send a '1' 00011011 to send a '0' the complement of the chipping sequence 11100100
- encoded signal = (original data) X (chipping sequence)
- decoding: inner-product of encoded signal and chipping sequence
- allows multiple users to "coexist" and transmit simultaneously with minimal interference (if codes are "orthogonal")

CDMA Encode/Decode



Bipolar notation used for pedagogical purposes: binary 0 being -1 and 5: DataLink Layer 5a-103

Orthogonal codes properties

- Orthogonal codes: given two sequences S and T, $S \circ T = 1/m \Sigma S_i T_i = is 0$
- □ If SoT=0 also SoT=0
- □ S S=1
- □ S S=-1
- If multiple stations transmit with orthogonal codes it is enough to compute S • C with S received signal and C source chipping sequence to retrieve what trasmitted form the source. Why? Magic?
- Derives from orthogonal codes
- $\Box S \circ C = (A + \overline{B} + C) \circ C = A \circ C + B \circ C + C \circ C = 0 + 0 + 1$ A and C transmit 1, B transmits 0 5: Do

<u>CDMA: two-sender interference</u>

senders


<u>Chapter 5 outline</u>

- 5.1 Introduction and services
- 5.2 Error detection and correction
- 5.3Multiple access protocols
- 5.4 LAN addresses and ARP
- 5.5 Ethernet

- 5.6 Hubs, bridges, and switches
- 5.7 Wireless links and LANs
- **5.8** PPP
- **5.9 ATM**
- **5.10** Frame Relay

Interconnecting LAN segments

Hubs

- Bridges
- Switches
 - Remark: switches are essentially multi-port bridges.
 - What we say about bridges also holds for switches!

Why LAN interconnection: Some examples

- Different departments, administratively autonomous, may have different LANs, possibly using different technologies
- A LAN segment has limited length AND limited capacity

Interconnecting with hubs

- Devices with relay and some management capabilities
- Backbone hub interconnects LAN segments
- Extends max distance between nodes
- But individual segment collision domains become one large collision domain
 - if a node in CS and a node EE transmit at same time: collision
- Can't interconnect 10BaseT & 100BaseT



<u>Bridges</u>

Link layer device

- o stores and forwards Ethernet frames
- examines frame header and selectively forwards frame based on MAC dest address
- when frame is to be forwarded on segment, uses CSMA/CD to access segment
- transparent
 - hosts are unaware of presence of bridges
- plug-and-play, self-learning
 - o bridges do not need to be configured

Bridges: traffic isolation

- Bridge installation breaks LAN into LAN segments
- bridges filter packets:
 - same-LAN-segment frames not usually forwarded onto other LAN segments
 - segments become separate collision domains
 - Same broadcast domain



Forwarding



How do determine to which LAN segment to forward frame?

• Looks like a routing problem...

Self learning

- A bridge has a bridge table
- entry in bridge table:
 - (Node LAN Address, Bridge Interface, Time Stamp)
 - stale entries in table dropped (TTL can be 60 min)
- bridges *learn* (backward learning) which hosts can be reached through which interfaces
 - when frame received, bridge "learns" location of sender: incoming LAN segment
 - o records sender/location pair in bridge table

Filtering/Forwarding

When bridge receives a frame:

```
index bridge table using MAC dest address
if entry found for destination
    then{
        if dest on segment from which frame arrived
        then drop the frame (filtering)
        else forward the frame on interface indicated
        }
        else flood
            forward on all but the interface
```

on which the frame arrived

Bridge example

Suppose C sends frame to D and D replies back with frame to C.



□ Bridge receives frame from C

- o notes in bridge table that C is on interface 1
- because D is not in table, bridge sends frame into interfaces 2 and 3
- □ frame received by D

Bridge Learning: example



- □ D generates frame for C, sends
- □ bridge receives frame
 - o notes in bridge table that D is on interface 2
 - bridge knows C is on interface 1, so selectively forwards frame to interface 1

Interconnection without backbone



Not recommended for two reasons:

- single point of failure at Computer Science hub
- all traffic between EE and SE must path over CS segment

Backbone configuration



Recommended !

Bridges Spanning Tree

- for increased reliability, desirable to have redundant, alternative paths from source to dest
- with multiple paths, cycles result bridges may multiply and forward frame forever
- solution: organize bridges in a spanning tree by disabling subset of interfaces



<u>Some bridge features</u>

- Isolates collision domains resulting in higher total max throughput
- Iimitless number of nodes and geographical coverage
- Can connect different Ethernet types
- Transparent ("plug-and-play"): no configuration necessary

Bridges vs. Routers

- both store-and-forward devices
 - routers: network layer devices (examine network layer headers)
 - bridges are link layer devices
- routers maintain routing tables, implement routing algorithms
- bridges maintain bridge tables, implement filtering, learning and spanning tree algorithms



Routers vs. Bridges

Bridges + and -

- + Bridge operation is simpler requiring less packet processing
- + Bridge tables are self learning
- All traffic confined to spanning tree, even when alternative bandwidth is available
- Bridges do not offer protection from broadcast storms

Fast, inexpensive, cannot be applied in large scale networks

Routers vs. Bridges

Routers + and -

- + arbitrary topologies can be supported, cycling is limited by TTL counters (and good routing protocols)
- + provide protection against broadcast storms
- require IP address configuration (not plug and play)
- require higher packet processing
- bridges do well in small (few hundred hosts) while routers used in large networks (thousands of hosts)

Ethernet Switches

- Essentially a multiinterface bridge
- layer 2 (frame) forwarding, filtering using LAN addresses
- Iarge number of interfaces
- often: individual hosts, star-connected into switch
 - Ethernet, but no collisions!
 - Switching: A-to-A' and B-to-B' simultaneously, no collisions (in the case of hosts directly connected)



Ethernet Switches

- cut-through switching: frame forwarded from input to output port without awaiting for assembly of entire frame
 slight reduction in latency
 combinations of changed/dedicated
- combinations of shared/dedicated, 10/100/1000 Mbps interfaces

Not an atypical LAN (IP network)



Summary comparison

	<u>hubs</u>	<u>bridges</u>	<u>routers</u>	<u>switches</u>
traffic isolation	no	yes	yes	yes
plug & play	yes	yes	no	yes
optimal routing	no	no	yes	no
cut through	yes	no	no	yes