

Chapter 5

Data Link Layer

Reti di Elaboratori
Corso di Laurea in Informatica
Università degli Studi di Roma "La Sapienza"
Canale A-L

Prof.ssa Chiara Petrioli

Parte di queste slide sono state prese dal materiale associato al libro
Computer Networking: A Top Down Approach , 5th edition.

All material copyright 1996-2009

J.F Kurose and K.W. Ross, All Rights Reserved

Thanks also to Antonio Capone, Politecnico di Milano, Giuseppe Bianchi and
Francesco LoPresti, Un. di Roma Tor Vergata

CSMA (Carrier Sense Multiple Access)

CSMA: listen before transmit:

If channel sensed idle: transmit entire frame

- ❑ If channel sensed busy, defer transmission

- ❑ human analogy: don't interrupt others!

CSMA collisions

collisions *can* still occur:


propagation delay means
two nodes may not hear
each other's transmission

collision:

entire packet transmission
time wasted

note:

role of distance & propagation
delay in determining collision
probability



CSMA/CD (Collision Detection)

CSMA/CD: carrier sensing, deferral as in CSMA


- collisions *detected* within short time
- colliding transmissions aborted, reducing channel wastage

□ collision detection:

- easy in wired LANs: measure signal strengths, compare transmitted, received signals
- difficult in wireless LANs: received signal strength overwhelmed by local transmission strength

□ human analogy: the polite conversationalist

CSMA/CD collision detection



“Taking Turns” MAC protocols

channel partitioning MAC protocols:

- share channel *efficiently* and *fairly* at high load
- inefficient at low load: delay in channel access, $1/N$ bandwidth allocated even if only 1 active node!

Random access MAC protocols

- efficient at low load: single node can fully utilize channel
- high load: collision overhead


“taking turns” protocols

look for best of both worlds!

“Taking Turns” MAC protocols

Polling:


- ❑ master node
“invites” slave
nodes to transmit in
turn
- ❑ typically used with
“dumb” slave
devices
- ❑ concerns:
 - polling overhead
 - latency
 - single point of
failure (master)



“Taking Turns” MAC protocols

Token passing:

- ❑ control **token** passed from one node to next sequentially.
- ❑ token message
- ❑ concerns:
 - token overhead
 - latency
 - single point of failure (token)



Summary of MAC protocols

- ❑ *channel partitioning*, by time, frequency or code
 - Time Division, Frequency Division
- ❑ *random access* (dynamic),
 - ALOHA, S-ALOHA, CSMA, CSMA/CD
 - carrier sensing: easy in some technologies (wire), hard in others (wireless)
 - CSMA/CD used in Ethernet
 - CSMA/CA used in 802.11
- ❑ *taking turns*
 - polling from central site, token passing
 - Bluetooth, FDDI, IBM Token Ring

LAN Addresses and ARP

32-bit IP address:


- ❑ *network-layer* address
- ❑ used to get datagram to destination IP network (recall IP network definition)

LAN (or MAC or physical or Ethernet) address:

- ❑ used to get datagram from one interface to another physically-connected interface (same network)
- ❑ 48 bit MAC address (for most LANs) burned in the adapter ROM

LAN Addresses and ARP

Each adapter on LAN has unique LAN address





LAN Address (more)

- ❑ MAC address allocation administered by IEEE
- ❑ manufacturer buys portion of MAC address space (to assure uniqueness)
- ❑ Analogy:
 - (a) MAC address: like Social Security Number
 - (b) IP address: like postal address
- ❑ MAC flat address => portability
 - can move LAN card from one LAN to another
- ❑ IP hierarchical address NOT portable
 - depends on IP network to which node is attached

Recall earlier routing discussion


Starting at A, given IP datagram addressed to B:

- look up net. address of B, find B on same net. as A
- link layer send datagram to B inside link-layer frame



ARP: Address Resolution Protocol

Question: how to determine MAC address of B knowing B's IP address?




- ❑ Each IP node (Host, Router) on LAN has **ARP** table
- ❑ ARP Table: IP/MAC address mappings for some LAN nodes
 - < IP address; MAC address; TTL >
 - TTL (Time To Live): time after which address mapping will be forgotten (typically 20 min)

ARP protocol

- ❑ A wants to send datagram to B, and A knows B's IP address.
- ❑ Suppose B's MAC address is not in A's ARP table.
- ❑ A **broadcasts** ARP query packet, containing B's IP address
 - all machines on LAN receive ARP query
- ❑ B receives ARP packet, replies to A with its (B's) MAC address
 - frame sent to A's MAC address (unicast)
- ❑ A caches (saves) IP-to-MAC address pair in its ARP table until information becomes old (times out)
 - soft state: information that times out (goes away) unless refreshed
 - USED to save ARP messages: if I receive an ARP message I cache all the informations associated to it
- ❑ ARP is “plug-and-play”:
 - nodes create their ARP tables without intervention from net administrator

Addressing: routing to another LAN


walkthrough: **send datagram from A to B via R**
assume A knows B's IP address



- two ARP tables in router R, one for each IP network (LAN)

- ❑ A creates IP datagram with source A, destination B
- ❑ A uses ARP to get R's MAC address for 111.111.111.110
- ❑ A creates link-layer frame with R's MAC address as dest, frame contains A-to-B IP datagram
- ❑ A's NIC sends frame
- ❑ R's NIC receives frame
- ❑ R removes IP datagram from Ethernet frame, sees its destined to B
- ❑ R uses ARP to get B's MAC address
- ❑ R creates frame containing A-to-B IP datagram sends to B

This is a **really** important example - make sure you understand!




Link Layer

- ❑ 5.1 Introduction and services
- ❑ 5.2 Error detection and correction
- ❑ 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- ❑ 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing
- ❑ 5.5 Ethernet
- ❑ 5.6 Link-layer switches
- ❑ 5.7 PPP
- ❑ 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- ❑ 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

Ethernet

“dominant” wired LAN technology:


- ❑ cheap \$20 for NIC
- ❑ first widely used LAN technology
- ❑ simpler, cheaper than token LANs and ATM
- ❑ kept up with speed race: 10 Mbps - 10 Gbps




Metcalfe's Ethernet sketch

Star topology

- ❑ bus topology popular through mid 90s
 - all nodes in same collision domain (can collide with each other)
- ❑ today: star topology prevails
 - active *switch* in center
 - each “spoke” runs a (separate) Ethernet protocol (nodes do not collide with each other)




bus: coaxial cable



Ethernet Frame Structure

Sending adapter encapsulates IP datagram (or other network layer protocol packet) in **Ethernet frame**




Preamble:

- ❑ 7 bytes with pattern 10101010 followed by one byte with pattern 10101011
- ❑ used to synchronize receiver, sender clock rates

Ethernet Frame Structure (more)

- ❑ **Addresses:** 6 bytes
 - if adapter receives frame with matching destination address, or with broadcast address (eg ARP packet), it passes data in frame to network layer protocol
 - otherwise, adapter discards frame
- ❑ **Type:** indicates higher layer protocol (mostly IP but others possible, e.g., Novell IPX, AppleTalk)
- ❑ **CRC:** checked at receiver, if error is detected, frame is dropped



Ethernet: Unreliable, connectionless

- ❑ **connectionless**: No handshaking between sending and receiving NICs
- ❑ **unreliable**: receiving NIC doesn't send acks or nacks to sending NIC
 - stream of datagrams passed to network layer can have gaps (missing datagrams)
 - gaps will be filled if app is using TCP
 - otherwise, app will see gaps
- ❑ Ethernet's MAC protocol: unslotted **CSMA/CD**

Ethernet CSMA/CD algorithm

1. NIC receives datagram from network layer, creates frame
2. If NIC senses channel idle, starts frame transmission
If NIC senses channel busy, waits until channel idle, then transmits
3. If NIC transmits entire frame without detecting another transmission, NIC is done with frame !
4. If NIC detects another transmission while transmitting, aborts and sends jam signal
5. After aborting, NIC enters **exponential backoff**: after m th collision, NIC chooses K at random from $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^m - 1\}$. NIC waits $K \cdot 512$ bit times, returns to Step 2

Ethernet's CSMA/CD (more)

Jam Signal: make sure all other transmitters are aware of collision; 48 bits

Bit time: .1 microsec for 10 Mbps Ethernet ;
for $K=1023$, wait time is about 50 msec

Exponential Backoff:

- **Goal:** adapt retransmission attempts to estimated current load
 - heavy load: random wait will be longer
- first collision: choose K from $\{0,1\}$; delay is $K \cdot 512$ bit transmission times
- after second collision: choose K from $\{0,1,2,3\}$...
- after ten collisions, choose K from $\{0,1,2,3,4,...,1023\}$

CSMA/CD efficiency


- T_{prop} = max prop delay between 2 nodes in LAN
- t_{trans} = time to transmit max-size frame

$$\text{efficiency} = \frac{1}{1 + 5t_{\text{prop}}/t_{\text{trans}}}$$

- efficiency goes to 1
 - as t_{prop} goes to 0
 - as t_{trans} goes to infinity
- better performance than ALOHA: and simple, cheap, decentralized!

802.3 Ethernet Standards: Link & Physical Layers


- ❑ *many* different Ethernet standards
 - in the 90s 10BASE2 (max 200m, coaxial cable, bus)
 - common MAC protocol and frame format
 - different speeds: 2 Mbps, 10 Mbps, 100 Mbps, 1Gbps, 10G bps
 - different physical layer media: fiber, cable



copper (twister pair) physical layer

fiber physical layer

Manchester encoding



- ❑ used in 10BaseT
- ❑ each bit has a transition
- ❑ allows clocks in sending and receiving nodes to synchronize to each other
 - no need for a centralized, global clock among nodes!
- ❑ Hey, this is physical-layer stuff!


Link Layer

- ❑ 5.1 Introduction and services
- ❑ 5.2 Error detection and correction
- ❑ 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- ❑ 5.4 Link-layer Addressing
- ❑ 5.5 Ethernet
- ❑ 5.6 Link-layer switches, LANs, VLANs
- ❑ 5.7 PPP
- ❑ 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- ❑ 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

Hubs

... physical-layer (“dumb”) repeaters:

- bits coming in one link go out *all* other links at same rate
- all nodes connected to hub can collide with one another
- no frame buffering
- no CSMA/CD at hub: host NICs detect collisions



Hubs

...hierarchical organization of department LANs via Hub, pros and cons


- Extends size of the network
- Interconnects LANs
- Reduces the aggregate throughput of LANs (single collision domain)
- Homogeneous Ethernet technologies (no buffering of frames)

Switches and Bridges

- ❑ link-layer device: smarter than hubs, take *active role*
 - store, forward Ethernet frames
 - examine incoming frame's MAC address, *selectively* forward frame to one-or-more outgoing links when frame is to be forwarded on segment, uses CSMA/CD to access segment
 - Solves the cons of interconnection via Hubs
- ❑ *transparent*
 - hosts are unaware of presence of switches
- ❑ *plug-and-play, self-learning*
 - switches do not need to be configured

Bridge and Switch: allows multiple simultaneous transmissions


- ❑ hosts have dedicated, direct connection to switch
- ❑ switches buffer packets
- ❑ Ethernet protocol used on *each* incoming link, but no collisions; full duplex
 - each link is its own collision domain
- ❑ **switching:** A-to-A' and B-to-B' simultaneously, without collisions
 - not possible with dumb hub



*switch with six interfaces
(1,2,3,4,5,6)*

Switch Table


- ❑ Q: how does switch know that A' reachable via interface 4, B' reachable via interface 5?
- ❑ A: each switch has a **switch table**, each entry:
 - (MAC address of host, interface to reach host, time stamp)
- ❑ looks like a routing table!
- ❑ Q: how are entries created, maintained in switch table?
 - something like a routing protocol?



*switch with six interfaces
(1,2,3,4,5,6)*

Switch: self-learning

- switch *learns* which hosts can be reached through which interfaces
 - when frame received, switch “learns” location of sender: incoming LAN segment
 - records sender/location pair in switch table



MAC addr	interface	TTL
A	1	60

*Switch table
(initially empty)*


Switch: frame filtering/forwarding

When frame received:

1. record link associated with sending host
 2. index switch table using MAC dest address
 3. **if** entry found for destination
 then {
 if dest on segment from which frame arrived
 then drop the frame
 else forward the frame on interface indicated
 }
 else flood
- forward on all but the interface
on which the frame arrived*

Self-learning, forwarding: example

- ❑ frame destination unknown: *flood*
- ❑ destination A location known: *selective send*




MAC addr	interface	TTL
A	1	60
A'	4	60

*Switch table
(initially empty)*

Interconnecting switches


- switches can be connected together



- Q: sending from A to G - how does S₁ know to forward frame destined to F via S₄ and S₃?
- A: self learning! (works exactly the same as in single-switch case!)


Self-learning multi-switch example

Suppose C sends frame to I, I responds to C




- Q: show switch tables and packet forwarding in S₁, S₂, S₃, S₄

Institutional network




Switches vs. Routers

- ❑ both store-and-forward devices
 - routers: network layer devices (examine network layer headers)
 - switches are link layer devices
- ❑ routers maintain routing tables, implement routing algorithms
- ❑ switches maintain switch tables, implement filtering, learning algorithms



VLANs: motivation

What's wrong with this picture?



What happens if:


- ❑ CS user moves office to EE, but wants connect to CS switch?
- ❑ single broadcast domain:
 - all layer-2 broadcast traffic (ARP, DHCP) crosses entire LAN (security/privacy, efficiency issues)
- ❑ each lowest level switch has only few ports in use

VLANs


Virtual Local Area Network

Switch(es) supporting VLAN capabilities can be configured to define multiple virtual LANS over single physical LAN infrastructure.

Port-based VLAN: switch ports grouped (by switch management software) so that *single* physical switch




... operates as *multiple* virtual switches




Port-based VLAN

- ❑ *traffic isolation*: frames to/from ports 1-8 can *only* reach ports 1-8
 - can also define VLAN based on MAC addresses of endpoints, rather than switch port
- ❑ *dynamic membership*: ports can be dynamically assigned among VLANs
- ❑ *forwarding between VLANs*: done via routing (just as with separate switches)
 - in practice vendors sell combined switches plus routers




VLANs spanning multiple switches



- ❑ **trunk port:** carries frames between VLANs defined over multiple physical switches
 - frames forwarded within VLAN between switches can't be vanilla 802.1 frames (must carry VLAN ID info)
 - 802.1q protocol adds/removed additional header fields for frames forwarded between trunk ports

802.1Q VLAN frame format



Link Layer

- ❑ 5.1 Introduction and services
- ❑ 5.2 Error detection and correction
- ❑ 5.3 Multiple access protocols
- ❑ 5.4 Link-Layer Addressing
- ❑ 5.5 Ethernet
- ❑ 5.6 Link-layer switches
- ❑ 5.7 PPP
- ❑ 5.8 Link virtualization: MPLS
- ❑ 5.9 A day in the life of a web request

Point to Point Data Link Control

- ❑ one sender, one receiver, one link: easier than broadcast link:
 - no Media Access Control
 - no need for explicit MAC addressing
 - e.g., dialup link, ISDN line
- ❑ popular point-to-point DLC protocols:
 - PPP (point-to-point protocol)
 - HDLC: High level data link control (Data link used to be considered “high layer” in protocol stack!)

PPP Design Requirements [RFC 1557]

- ❑ **packet framing:** encapsulation of network-layer datagram in data link frame
 - carry network layer data of any network layer protocol (not just IP) *at same time*
 - ability to demultiplex upwards
- ❑ **bit transparency:** must carry any bit pattern in the data field
- ❑ **error detection** (no correction)
- ❑ **connection liveness:** detect, signal link failure to network layer
- ❑ **network layer address negotiation:** endpoint can learn/configure each other's network address


PPP non-requirements

- ❑ no error correction/recovery
- ❑ no flow control
- ❑ out of order delivery OK
- ❑ no need to support multipoint links (e.g., polling)

Error recovery, flow control, data re-ordering
all relegated to higher layers!


PPP Data Frame

- ❑ **Flag:** delimiter (framing)
- ❑ **Address:** does nothing (only one option)
- ❑ **Control:** does nothing; in the future possible multiple control fields
- ❑ **Protocol:** upper layer protocol to which frame delivered (eg, PPP-LCP, IP, IPCP, etc)



PPP Data Frame


- **info**: upper layer data being carried
- **check**: cyclic redundancy check for error detection



Byte Stuffing

- ❑ “data transparency” requirement: data field must be allowed to include flag pattern <01111110>
 - Q: is received <01111110> data or flag?
- ❑ **Sender:** adds (“stuffs”) extra < 01111110> byte after each < 01111110> *data* byte
- ❑ **Receiver:**
 - two 01111110 bytes in a row: discard first byte, continue data reception
 - single 01111110: flag byte

Byte Stuffing



PPP Data Control Protocol

Before exchanging network-layer data, data link peers must

- ❑ **configure PPP link** (max. frame length, authentication)
- ❑ **learn/configure network** layer information
 - for IP: carry IP Control Protocol (IPCP) msgs (protocol field: 8021) to configure/learn IP address

