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## Prolog: logic programming

Created in France by Alain Colmerauer \& co. at Marseille, France in the ' 70 for AI and computational linguistics
Declarative style of:

- representing data/relations
- representing how to solve a problem
- representing data structures
(facts)
(rules / clauses)
(built through unification)

Used for:

- AI: natural language parsing and generation, planning, theorem proving, math, symbolic manipulation, ...
- meta-programming
(programs that create programs)
- ...


## SWI-Prolog

Implementation for Windows/OsX/Linux at https://swi-prolog.org

- OOP, GUI programming, Web programming, Semantic web ...

IDE, editor and Web-based:

- Browser-based interface at https://swish.swi-prolog.org
- available also as a Docker / Podman image (swipl/swish)
- SwiPrologEditor/IDE at Hessen University
- Eclipse plugin (ProDT) at Bonn University

Interactive books to learn Prolog:

- Learn Prolog Now! at http://lpn.swi-prolog.org
- Simply Logical at https://book.simply-logical.space


## Data types and program elements

| Integers | 42 | Floats | $3.14 \quad$ Strings "Hello world" |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Atoms | andrea | Lists | [one, 2, 3.14, "four" ] |
| Terms | height( andrea, 186 ) | Dicts | movie\{ director: "Martin... \} |

## Example: Facts as database records, rules as complex queries



## Declarative style

Facts can be considered as a database of known data
Could be used to teach data normalization

- 1NF: values are atomic/there is a unique key/reduced form
- 2NF: + no partial dependencies (create other tables)
- 3NF: + no transitive dependencies (create other tables)

To retrieve a record with simple WITH constraints just QUERY with partial arguments and get variable values filled with found data
To use more complex WITH constraints use rules.
To get table JOINS just AND queries (that must be all true)

## Unification = Matching between data-structures

Unification: its powerful term-matching mechanism can be used to automatically pack/unpack terms and data structures
When they contains variables, Prolog looks for a suitable assignment of the variables (on both sides!)
Notice that the term functor (name) and arity (\# of args) should match E.g.

```
parent( Dad, andrea, male ) = parent( maurizio, andrea, Gender )
```

is true when Dad = maurizio AND Gender = male
Unification is way more powerful than Python multiple assignment used to pack/unpack, as unification goes both ways and inside terms and variable assignments are propagated

## Examples of unification

?-42 = 42.0 .
false. (integers are different than floats)
?- donald_duck = minnie.
false. (they should be the same atom)
?- mickey = A.
A = mickey (a variable unifies)
?- friend(mickey, $X$ ) = friend( Y , goofy).
X = goofy, $\mathrm{Y}=$ mickey
?- friend(mickey, X ) = friend( X , goofy). false. (X cannot be coherent)
:- father ( X ) = X.
X = father( father( father( ... ) ) )
Infinite terms arise if the variable occurs in the term she is unifying with. Proper unification must do the occurs_check.
Prolog for efficiency reasons DOES NOT DO the occur_check. But a specific predicate is available when needed.
:-
unify_with_occurs_check(father(X),X). false.

## Program execution = query for a proof

A program execution is the response to a query asking the system to find a proof that something (a term) is true
The system looks for a way to prove your query by searching:

- for a fact that directly satisfies your query matching the term
- or else for a predicatelrule that would be able to satisfy your query:
- if the head matches (unifies), then recursively should prove all its preconditions (body)
If more than one ways exists to satisfy a query, all are tried in order (by backtracking, undoing last choice if some of the sub-queries fails)
Facts/clauses are searched in their textual order in the program
Values assigned to the variables to satisfy the query are returned


## Example: a small genealogy problem

From the 'parent' relation


To find all teresa's ancestors we must to recursively climb the parent relation

## Representing facts AND relations (deduction rules)

## FACTS

parent(mario, maurizio). parent(mario, carla). parent(maurizio, andrea). parent(maurizio, gianluca). parent(andrea, teresa). parent(andrea, davide). parent(dina, nicoletta). parent(roberto, nicoletta). parent(nicoletta, teresa). parent(nicoletta, davide).

## RULES

ancestor( Kid, Parent ) :- \% base case parent(Parent, Kid).
ancestor( Kid, Grandpa ) :parent( Somebody, Kid ), ancestor( Somebody, Grandpa). QUERY (find all ancestors)
?- ancestor( teresa, A ).
$A=$ andrea; $\quad A=$ nicoletta;
A = maurizio ; $\quad A=$ mario ;
$A=$ dina; $\quad A=$ roberto;
false (no more solutions)

## So many different queries from the same facts/rules!

\% find known Dina's nephews (at any level)
?- ancestor( N, dina), not(parent(dina, N)).
N = teresa ; N = davide ; false (no more solutions)
\% find known sibling pairs
?- parent( Parent, Kid1), parent( Parent, Kid2 ), Kid1 @< Kid2.

Parent = mario, Kid1 = carla,
Parent = maurizio, Kid1 = andrea,
Parent = andrea, Kid1 = davide,
Parent $=$ nicoletta,$\quad$ Kid1 $=$ davide,

Kid2 = maurizio;
Kid2 = gianluca;
Kid2 = teresa;
Kid2 = teresa; false

## Procedural interpretation of a Prolog program

You can see the rules/facts of your program as if they were a set of "subroutines", each with multiple alternative implementations (the clauses)
When you query for a given term proof, you CALL the corresponding set of clauses, which are tried one at a time (in textual order)
When a clause is called, its inner prerequisites are CALLED sequentially
When one FAILS, another clause is tried for the same term by backtracking to the most recent choice, undoing it and trying the next (undoing var assignments if needed)
This implies a DFS search of a solution in the execution tree
The first solution found is returned with its variable assignments
When asked for another solution (;) Prolog bactracks on the last choice and continues

## Two different types of "assignment" term unification vs. math computation

Unification is used to pack / unpack / match data structures (terms, lists, ...)
term ( X, two, three (X) ) = term( four, B, C )
=> $X=$ four $B=t w o \quad C=t h r e e(f o u r)$
NOTICE how the $X$ value appears now in the term assigned to $C$
Unification CANNOT compute math expressions (but CAN do symbolic manipulation)
To do computation, instead, we use 'is' to evaluate expressions
$A$ is $\max (3,5) \quad \Rightarrow>A=5$
$B$ is $A * 10 \quad \Rightarrow>B=50$
$C$ is $12 \bmod 7 \quad=>=5$
Functions available: min, max, arithmetic, random, trigonometric, logarithms, logical (bits), ascii, ...
(a third type of assignment as constraint over the variable domain is available in Constraint Logic Programming predicate libraries)

## Demo

## Genealogy demo

## SWISH examples: kb, movies

## Lists (dynamic, heterogeneous)

```
List = [ one, two, three, four ] % list syntax
[ Head | Tail] = List % how to extract the first element
    Head = one % fails if the list is empty
    Tail = [ two, three, four ]
[ First, Second | Rest ] = List
    First = one
    Second = two
    Rest = [ three, four ]
EmptyList = [ % % the empty list
is_empty([]).
length([], 0).
empty list
length([H|T], N1) :-
    length(T,N), N1 is N + 1.
```

\% extracting first and second element \% fails if the list has less than 2 elements
\% the empty list
\% test for empty list through unification
\% recursively compute the list length: base case with
\% a list with at least 1 element ..
$\%$ is long 1 more than the rest of the list

## Predicates are relations <br> and works in many ways/directions



## Functional programming

Predicates can be used as if they were functions or to test values You just add an argument to collect the result square( $X$, Result ) :- Result is $X$ * $X$. is_odd $(X)$ :- 1 is $X \bmod 2$.
\% function
\% test = compute+unify

You can map functions over lists (with the apply library predicates)
List = [ 1, 2, 3, 4 ], maplist( square, List, List1 ).

$$
\Rightarrow \text { List1 }=[1,4,9,16]
$$

Or get all elements satisfying some property
List $=[1,2,3,4]$, include(is_odd, List, Odd).

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \text { Odd }=[1,3]
$$

List $=[1,2,3,4]$, partition(is_odd, List, Odd, Even).

$$
\Rightarrow \text { Odd }=[1,3] \quad \text { Even }=[2,4]
$$

## There is no need for looping constructs Recursion, recursion everywhere!

NORMAL WAY: Repeating N times is done through recursion
repeat_something(0).
repeat_something(N) :-
$\mathrm{N}>0$,
do_something,
N 1 is $\mathrm{N}-1$,
repeat_something(N1).
NOTICE: in this case you CAN collect results through the predicate variables
EAILURE-DRIVEN-WAY: repeat by failing, backtracking and retrying repeat_something(N) :-
between(1, $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{X}$ ), $\quad \%$ generate $\mathrm{X}=1,2,3,4,5 \ldots \mathrm{~N}$ by backtracking
do_something,
fail. $\quad \%$ to avoid failure of the predicate repeat_something(_). \% add a default "always true" clause
NOTICE: in this case you CANNOT collect results (unless you use side-effects)

## More general ways to collect all solutions or to repeat

All solutions (with repetitions): bagof(Term, Predicate, ListOfTerms)
?- $\operatorname{bagof}(X,(\operatorname{member}(X,[3,2,3,4]), 1$ is $X \bmod 2)$, Odd)

$$
\Rightarrow \text { Odd }=[3,3]
$$

All unique solutions: setof(Term, Predicate, SetOfTerms) ?- $\operatorname{setof}(X$, (member( $X,[3,2,3,4]), 1$ is $X \bmod 2)$, Odd)

$$
\text { => Odd = [ } 3 \text { ] }
$$

Repeat a call for each solution of a Predicate: forall( Predicate, DoSomething)
?- forall( member(EI, [1, 2, 3]), writeln(EI) ).
1
2
3

## Programming styles

Single threaded
Declarative: data AND rules- declarative data => relational data representation (SQL-like)

Functional:
Meta-programming: programs that BUILD programs
Predicate/Relations can be used in many directions
Recursion, recursion everywhere!
Parallelism in some particular Prolog (Sicstus, Parlog, GHC)
Simple multiprocessing with the 'spawn' library
Events? YES in the XPCE library to build GUIs

## Prolog Pro/Cons for teaching

## PRO

- Focus on data abstraction
- Focus on relations instead than procedures
- easy Natural Language processing and generation (next lessons)


## CONS

- Not typed (but you can use terms for dynamic typing)
- There is no really nice IDE (or you can use Eclipse PDT)
- Recursion everywhere!
- easy Symbolic manipulation (Math, Algebra, Physics, ...)
- AI
- Recursion everywhere!


## Demo

## DEMO

(to be continued)

