PARALLELISM AND PERFORMANCE

Intensive Computation
Annalisa Massini
2022-2023

Lecture 17

SPEED-UP PERFORMANCE AND EXAMPLES

Computer Architecture - A Quantitative Approach

John L. Hennessy, David A. Patterson

Chapter 1 - Fundamentals of Quantitative Design and Analysis

Section 1.8 - Measuring, Reporting, and Summarizing Performance

Section 1.9 - Quantitative Principles of Computer Design

- When we say one computer is faster than another we can mean different things:
 - response time also referred to as execution time the time between the start and the completion of an event
 - The computer user is interested in reducing the response time
 - throughput the total amount of work done in a given time
 - The operator of a warehouse-scale computer may be interested in increasing throughput

- In comparing design alternatives, we often want to relate the performance of two different computers: X and Y
- When we say X is faster than Y we mean that the response time or execution time is lower on X than on Y for the given task
- In particular, X is n times faster than Y will mean:

$$\frac{\text{Execution time } Y}{\text{Execution time } X} = n$$

Execution time is the reciprocal of performance

 Since execution time is the reciprocal of performance, the following relationship holds:

$$n = \frac{\text{Execution time } Y}{\text{Execution time } X} = \frac{\text{Performance } X}{\text{Performance } Y}$$

The phrase the throughput of X is 1.3 times higher than
 Y signifies that the number of tasks completed per unit
 time on computer X is 1.3 times the number completed
 on Y

- Unfortunately, time is not always the metric quoted in comparing the performance of computers
- But (for Hennessy and Patterson) the only consistent and reliable measure of performance is the execution time of real programs
- All proposed alternatives to time as the metric or to real programs as the items measured have eventually led to misleading claims or even mistakes in computer design

- Even execution time can be defined in different ways depending on what we count
- The most straightforward definition of time is called wall-clock time, response time, or elapsed time
 which is the latency to complete a task, including disk
 accesses, memory accesses, input/output activities,
 operating system overhead...

- With multiprogramming, the processor works on another program while waiting for I/O and may not necessarily minimize the elapsed time of one program
- Hence, we need a term to consider this activity
- CPU time recognizes this distinction and means the time the processor is computing, not including the time waiting for I/O or running other programs
- Clearly, the response time seen by the user is the elapsed time of the program, not the CPU time

- Benchmarks can be used to measure performance
- The best choice of benchmarks is real applications
- Attempts at running programs much simpler than a real application have led to performance pitfalls
- Examples include:
 - Kernels, which are small, key pieces of real applications
 - Toy programs, which are 100-line programs (such as quicksort)
 - Synthetic benchmarks, which are fake programs invented to try to match the profile and behavior of real applications (as Dhrystone)
- All three are discredited today (compiler writer and architect can conspire to make the computer appear faster than on real applications)

Taking advantage of parallelism

- In the design and analysis of computers, we need
 - Principles and guidelines
 - Observations about design
 - Equations to evaluate alternatives
- Taking advantage of parallelism is one of the most important methods for improving performance
 - Parallelism at the system level scalability
 - Parallelism at the level of an individual processor parallelism among instructions
 - Parallelism at the level of digital design memories and ALUs

Taking advantage of parallelism

- Fundamental observations come from properties of programs
- The most important program property that we regularly exploit is the principle of locality
 - Temporal locality states that recently accessed items are likely to be accessed in the near future
 - Spatial locality says that items whose addresses are near one another tend to be referenced close together in time

Taking advantage of parallelism

- An important and pervasive principle of computer design is to focus on the common case:
 - In making a design trade-off, favor the frequent case over the infrequent case
- This principle applies when determining how to spend resources, since the impact of the improvement is higher if the occurrence is frequent
- In applying this simple principle, we have to decide what the frequent case is and how much performance can be improved by making that case faster

- The performance gain obtained by improving some portion of a computer can be calculated using Amdahl's law
- Amdahl's law:
 - states that the performance improvement is limited by the fraction of the time the faster mode can be used
 - defines the speedup that can be gained by using a particular feature

```
speeedup =
```

- = Performance for the entire task using the enhancement when possible Performance for the entire task without using the enhancement
- $= \frac{\text{Execution time for entire task without using the enhancement}}{\text{Execution time for entire task using the enhancement when possible}}$

- Amdahl's law gives us a quick way to find the speedup from some enhancement, which depends on two factors:
 - The fraction of the computation time in the original computer that can be converted to take advantage of the enhancement, that is

Fraction_{enhanced} = time with enhancement / *total* time

- A program that takes 60 seconds in total
- 20 seconds of the execution time can use an enhancement
- The fraction is: 20/60
- This value is always less than or equal to 1

- Amdahl's law gives us a quick way to find the speedup from some enhancement, which depends on two factors:
 - 2) The improvement gained by the enhanced execution mode, that is, how much faster the task would run if the enhanced mode were used for the entire program:
 - **Speedup**_{enhanced} = *original* mode time / *enhanced* mode time

- A portion of the program in the original mode is 5 seconds
- In the enhanced mode takes 2 seconds
- The improvement is 5/2
- This value is always greater than 1

 The execution time using the original computer with the enhanced mode will be the time spent using the unenhanced portion of the computer plus the time spent using the enhancement:

$$\textbf{Execution time}_{\text{new}} = \textbf{Execution time}_{\text{old}} \times \left(\textbf{1} - \textbf{Fraction}_{\text{enhanced}} \right) + \frac{\textbf{Fraction}_{\text{enhanced}}}{\textbf{Speedup}_{\text{enhanced}}} \right)$$

The overall speedup is the ratio of the execution times:

$$Speedup_{overall} = \frac{Execution time_{old}}{Execution time_{new}} = \frac{1}{(1 - Fraction_{enhanced}) + \frac{Fraction_{enhanced}}{Speedup_{enhanced}}}$$

- We want to enhance the processor used for Web serving
- The new processor is 10 times faster on computation in the Web serving application than the original processor
- Assume that the original processor is busy with computation 40% of the time and is waiting for I/O 60% of the time
- What is the overall speedup gained by incorporating the enhancement?

- We want to enhance the processor used for Web serving
- The new processor is 10 times faster on computation in the Web serving application than the original processor
- Assume that the original processor is busy with computation 40% of the time and is waiting for I/O 60% of the time
- What is the overall speedup gained by incorporating the enhancement?

Fraction_{enhanced} =
$$0.4$$
 Speedup_{enhanced} = 10

$$Speedup_{overall} = \frac{1}{(1 - Fraction_{enhanced}) + \frac{Fraction_{enhanced}}{Speedup_{enhanced}}} = \frac{1}{(1 - 0.4) + \frac{0.4}{10}} = \frac{1}{0.64} = 1.6$$

- Amdahl's law can serve as a guide to:
 - how much an enhancement will improve performance
 - how to distribute resources to improve cost-performance
- The goal is to spend resources proportional to where time is spent
- Amdahl's law is useful
 - for comparing the overall system performance of two alternatives
 - to compare two processor design alternatives

- A common transformation in graphics processors is square root
- Implementations of floating-point square root (FPSQR) vary significantly in performance among processors for graphics
- Suppose
 - FPSQR is responsible for 20% of the execution time of a critical graphics benchmark and
 - FP instructions are responsible for half of the execution time for the application

- Two proposals:
 - To enhance the FPSQR hardware and speed up this operation by a factor of 10
 - To try to make all FP instructions in the graphics processor run faster by a factor of 1.6
- Compare these two design alternatives

- We can compare these two alternatives by comparing the speedups
- 1) Speedup of 10 with FPSRT hw

Speedup_{FPSQR} =
$$\frac{1}{(1-0.2) + \frac{0.2}{10}} = \frac{1}{0.82} = 1.22$$

- We can compare these two alternatives by comparing the speedups
- 1) Speedup of 10 with FPSRT hw

Speedup_{FPSQR} =
$$\frac{1}{(1-0.2) + \frac{0.2}{10}} = \frac{1}{0.82} = 1.22$$

• 2) FP operations faster of 1,6 factor

Speedup_{FP} =
$$\frac{1}{(1-0.5) + \frac{0.5}{1.6}} = \frac{1}{0.8125} = 1.23$$

- We can compare these two alternatives by comparing the speedups
- 1) Speedup of 10 with FPSRT hw

Speedup_{FPSQR} =
$$\frac{1}{(1-0.2) + \frac{0.2}{10}} = \frac{1}{0.82} = 1.22$$

• 2) FP operations faster of 1,6 factor

Speedup_{FP} =
$$\frac{1}{(1-0.5) + \frac{0.5}{1.6}} = \frac{1}{0.8125} = 1.23$$

 Improving the performance of the FP operations overall is slightly better because of the higher frequency

- All computers are constructed using a clock running at a constant rate
- Discrete time events are called ticks, clock ticks, clock periods, clocks, cycles, or clock cycles
- Computer designers refer to the time of a clock period by its duration (e.g., 1 ns) or by its rate (e.g., 1 GHz)
- CPU time for a program can then be expressed two ways:
 - CPU time = CPU clock cycles for a program × Clock cycle time

 or
 - CPU time = CPU clock cycles for a program / Clock rate

- We can also count the number of instructions executed the instruction path length or instruction count (IC)
- If we know the number of clock cycles and the instruction count, we can calculate the average number of clock cycles per instruction (CPI):

CPI = CPU clock cycles for a program / IC

- From this formula we obtain
 - CPU clock cycles for a program = CPI x IC

- This allows us to use CPI in the execution time formula and obtain the performance equation:
 - CPU time = IC x CPI x Clock cycle time
- In fact (using the units of measurement) we have:

$$IC \times CPI \times Clock \ cycle \ time = \frac{Instructions}{Program} \times \frac{Clock \ cycles}{Instructions} \times \frac{Seconds}{Clock \ cycles} = \frac{Seconds}{Program} = CPU \ time$$

 Observe that processor performance is equally dependent upon clock cycle (or rate), clock cycles per instruction, and instruction count

 It is useful to calculate the number of total processor clock cycles as

$$\mathsf{CPUclock}\,\mathsf{cycles} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathsf{IC}_{i} \times \mathsf{CPI}_{i}$$

- where
 - IC_i is the number of times instruction i is executed in a program
 - CPI_i is the average number of clocks per instruction for instr. i

This expression can be used to express CPU time as

$$CPU time = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} IC_{i} \times CPI_{i}\right) \times Clock cycle time$$

and the overall CPI as

$$CPI = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} IC_{i} \times CPI_{i}}{Instruction count} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{IC_{i}}{Instruction count} \times CPI_{i}$$

- Suppose we have made the following measurements in the previous example :
 - Frequency of FP operations = 25%
 - Average CPI of FP operations = 4.0
 - Average CPI of other instructions = 1.33
 - Frequency of FPSQR = 2%
 - CPI of FPSQR = 20
- Assume that the two design alternatives are:
 - To decrease the CPI of FPSQR to 2 or
 - To decrease the average CPI of all FP operations to 2.5
- Compare these two design alternatives using the processor performance equation

- Observe that only the CPI changes
- The clock rate and instruction count remain identical
- We start by finding the original CPI with no enhancement:

$$CPI_{original} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} CPI_{i} \times \frac{IC_{i}}{Instruction count} = (4 \times 25\%) + (1.33 \times 75\%) = 2.0$$

 We can compute the CPI for the enhanced FPSR by subtracting the cycles saved from the original CPI:

$$CPI_{new FPSR} = CPI_{original} - 2\% \times (CPI_{old FPSR} - CPI_{new FPSR only}) =$$

$$= 2 - 2\% \times (20 - 2) = 1.64$$

 We can compute the CPI for the enhanced FPSR by subtracting the cycles saved from the original CPI:

CPI _{new FPSR} = CPI _{original} -
$$2\% \times (CPI _{old FPSR} - CPI _{new FPSR only}) =$$

= $2 - 2\% \times (20 - 2) = 1.64$

 We can compute the CPI for the enhancement of all FP instructions (the same way or) by summing the FP and non-FP CPIs:

$$CPI_{newFP} = (2.5 \times 25\%) + (1.33 \times 75\%) = 1.625$$

 Since the CPI of the overall FP enhancement is slightly lower, its performance will be marginally better

The speedup for the FPSR enhancement is

$$Speedup_{FPSR} = \frac{CPU time_{original}}{CPU time_{FPSR}} = \frac{CPI_{original}}{CPI_{FPSR}} = \frac{2.0}{1.64} = 1.22$$

The speedup for the overall FP enhancement is

$$Speedup_{new FP} = \frac{CPU time_{original}}{CPU time_{new FP}} = \frac{IC \times Clock \ cycle \times CPI_{original}}{IC \times Clock \ cycle \times CPI_{new FP}} = \frac{CPI_{original}}{CPI_{new FP}} = \frac{2.0}{1.625} = 1.23$$

In summary

- It is often easier to use the processor performance equation than Amdahl's law
- In fact,
 - It is often possible to measure the constituent parts of the processor performance equation
 - It may be difficult to measure things such as the fraction of execution time for which a set of instructions is responsible
 - In practice, this would probably be computed by summing the product of the instruction count and the CPI for each of the instructions in the set
- Hence, the starting point is often individual instruction count and CPI measurements → performance equation

COMMUNICATION PERFORMANCE

Parallel Computer Architecture: A Hardware/Software Approach

D.E. Culler, J. P. Singh, A. Gupta Morgan Kaufmann, 1998

Chapter 1 - Introduction

Section 1.4 – Fundamental Design Issues

Section 1.4.6 - Performance

Communication Performance

- In evaluating architectural trade-offs, the decision between feasible alternatives rests upon their performance
- Programmers and compiler writers will avoid costly operations where possible
- To have a complete vision of the fundamental issues of parallel computer architecture, we need to understand performance at many levels of design
- Fundamentally, there are three performance metrics:
 - Latency: time taken for an operation
 - Bandwidth: rate of performing operations
 - Cost: impact on execution time of program

Communication Performance

- If the processor does one thing at a time these metrics are directly related:
 - bandwidth (operation per second) is about
 1/latency (seconds per operation)
 - cost is simply latency x number of operations
- But actually it is more complex in modern systems
- Modern computer systems do many different operations at once and the relationship between these performance metrics is much more complex

Communication Performance

- Characteristics apply to overall operations, as well as individual components of a system
- Since the unique property of parallel computer architecture is communication, the operations that we are concerned with most often are data transfers

Linear Model of Data Transfer Latency

- The time for a data transfer operation is generally described by a linear model:
 - Transfer time $(n) = T_0 + n/B$
 - n is the amount of data (e.g. number of bytes),
 - **B** is the transfer rate of the component moving the data (e.g. bytes per second),
 - the constant term T_0 is the start-up cost
- This is a very convenient model, and it is used to describe a diverse collection of operations: messages, memory accesses, bus transactions, and vector operations

Linear Model of Data Transfer Latency

- It applies in many aspects of traditional computer architecture, as well
- In such a case, we can observe that the transfer time:
 - For memory operations, it is essentially the access time
 - For bus transactions, it reflects the bus arbitration and command phases
 - For any sort of pipelined operation, including pipelined instruction processing or vector operations, it is the time to fill pipeline

Linear Model of Data Transfer Latency

- But a linear model is not enough:
 - It does not give any indication when the next such operation can be initiated
 - It does not indicate whether other useful work can be performed during the transfer
- These other factors depend on how the transfer is performed:
 - Hence we need to know how transfer is performed

- The data transfer most interesting in parallel machines is the one that occurs across the network
- It is initiated by the processor through the communication assist
- The essential components of this operation can be described by the following simple model:

```
Communication Time (n) =
= Overhead + Network Delay + Occupancy
```

- As we know, a generic parallel machine organization comprises a collection of essentially complete computers, each with one or more processors and memory, connected through a scalable communication network
- The communications assist is some kind of controller or auxiliary processing unit which assists in generating outgoing messages or handling incoming messages
- There is great diversity (and debate) as to what functionality should be provided within the assist and how it interfaces to the processor, memory system, and network

Communication Time (n) = = Overhead + Network Delay + Occupancy

- The Overhead is the time the processor spends initiating the transfer
 - It may be a fixed cost, if the processor simply has to tell the communication assist to start
 - It may be linear in n, if the processor has to copy the data into the assist
- The Overhead represents the time the processor:
 - is busy with the communication event
 - cannot do other useful work or initiate other communication

```
Communication Time (n) =
= Overhead + Network Delay + Occupancy
```

- The remaining portions of the communication time is considered the network latency
- It is the part that can be hidden by other processor operations
- We can distinguish the two components Network Delay and Occupancy

Communication Time (n) =
= Overhead + Network Delay + Occupancy

- The Occupancy is the time it takes for the data to pass through the slowest component on the communication path:
 - For example, each link that is traversed in the network will be occupied for time *n/B*, where *B* is the bandwidth of the link
 - The data will occupy other resources, including buffers, switches, and the communication assist that is often the bottleneck that determines the occupancy
 - The occupancy limits how frequently communication operations can be initiated
 - The next data transfer will have to wait until the critical resource is no longer occupied before it can use that same resource

```
Communication Time (n) =
= Overhead + Network Delay + Occupancy
```

- The remaining communication time is the Network Delay, which includes:
 - The time for a bit to be routed across the actual network
 - And other factors, such as the time to get through the communication assist
- From the processors viewpoint, the specific hardware components contributing to network delay are indistinguishable
- The task of designing the network and its interfaces is very concerned with the specific components and their contribution to the aspects of performance that the processor observes

Communication Time (n) =

= Overhead + Network Delay + Occupancy

- This equation gives a very general model, and can be used to describe data transfers in many situations in computer systems
- For example, consider the time to move a block between cache and memory on a miss:
 - The overhead is the time the cache controller spends inspecting the tag to determine that it is not a hit and then starting the transfer
 - The occupancy is the block size divided by the bus bandwidth, unless there is some slower component in the system
 - The delay includes the normal time to arbitrate and gain access to the bus plus the time spent delivering data into the memory
 - Additional time spent waiting to gain access to the bus or wait for the memory bank cycle to complete is due to contention

 A useful model connecting the program characteristics to the hardware performance is given by

```
Communication Cost = frequency * (Comm. time - overlap)
```

- The frequency of communication:
 - Is defined as the number of communication operations per unit of work in the program
 - It depends on many programming factors and many hardware design factors

```
Communication Cost = 
= frequency * (Comm. time - overlap)
```

Note that:

- Hardware may:
 - limit the transfer size and determine the min number of messages
 - replicate data or migrate it to where it is used
- A certain amount of communication is inherent to parallel execution, since data must be shared and processors must coordinate their work
- A machine can support programs with a high communication frequency if the other parts of the communication cost are small: low overhead, low network delay, and small occupancy

Communication Cost = = frequency * (Comm. time - overlap)

- The overlap is the portion of the communication operation which is performed concurrently with other useful work, including computation or other communication
 - This reduction of the effective cost is possible because much of the communication time involves work done by components of the system other than the processor, such as the network interface unit, the bus, the network or the remote processor or memory
 - Overlapping communication with other work is a form of small scale parallelism