

# THE DISTRIBUTED DEPLOYMENT OF MOBILE SENSORS I.E. THE VORONOI DIAGRAM CONSTRUCTION PROBLEM

1



Prof. Tiziana Calamoneri  
Network Algorithms  
A.y. 2021/22

## THE DISTRIBUTED DEPLOYMENT PROBLEM (1)

We have already spoken about *mobile sensor networks*...

... and of the *deployment problem*.


A centralized solution is not always desirable because:

- Connection with a server is required
- Long delays are expected
- The solution is not fault-tolerant

The ability of moving around facilitates sensors to self-deploy starting from any initial configuration to a final distribution that guarantees that the Aol is completely covered.

3

## THE PROBLEM



2

## THE DISTRIBUTED DEPLOYMENT PROBLEM (2)

The self-deployment is necessary in “hostile” environments:


- Contaminated places
- Fires
- Battlefields...

In these cases, sensors should position themselves and transmit the collected information.

4


## A POSSIBLE APPROACH: VIRTUAL FORCES (1)

- **Idea:** sensors are similar to charged particles (magnetic force) having a mass (gravitational force).
- Two sensors repel each other if they are too close
- Two sensors attract each other if they are far but can anyway communicate
- Two sensors ignore each other if they cannot communicate (too far)
- Friction to attenuate oscillations.



5

## A POSSIBLE APPROACH: VIRTUAL FORCES (2)



(video HomVF)

6

## A POSSIBLE APPROACH: VIRTUAL FORCES (3)

### Weaknesses:

- It is necessary a manual tuning of parameters
- Sensor oscillation – possible solutions:
  - Friction forces
  - Stopping conditions
- In some versions, attracting effect of the border and of the obstacles (e.g. when only repulsive forces are considered)
- ...

7

## A POSSIBLE APPROACH: VIRTUAL FORCES (4)

### Weaknesses (cntd):

- Sensors tend not to pass through doors and narrows



(a)

### Possible students' lesson



(b)


## A PROTOCOL BASED ON VORONOI DIAGRAMS (1)

Idea:

- Each sensor is assigned an Aol portion and it has to take charge of it, trying to cover it as best as it can
- The sensor is “satisfied” if:
  - It completely cover its portion
  - or
  - All its sensing radius is used to cover its portion
- If a sensor is not “satisfied” it has to move in order to improve its coverage
- Aol portions can be assigned according to the Voronoi diagram.




## A PROTOCOL BASED ON VORONOI DIAGRAMS (2)



(video HomVor)

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (1)

Suppose that you live in a desert where the only sources of water are a few springs scattered here and there. For each spring, you would like to determine the locations nearest that spring. The result could be a map, like the one shown here, in which the terrain is divided into regions of locations nearest the various springs.



## VORONOI DIAGRAM (2)

Maps like this appear frequently in various applications and under many names. To mathematicians, they are known as *Voronoi diagrams*.

Voronoi diagrams are rather natural constructions, and it seems that they, or something like them, have been in use for a long time.

13

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (3)

Voronoi diagrams have been used by:


- anthropologists to describe regions of influence of different cultures;
- crystallographers to explain the structure of certain crystals and metals;
- ecologists to study competition between plants;
- economists to model markets in a certain economy;
- ...

14

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (4)

- An informal study of Voronoi diagrams dates back to Descartes (1644): he includes the following figure with his demonstration of how matter is distributed throughout the solar system.

▪ ...



## VORONOI DIAGRAM (5)

- ...
- The English physicist Snow uses them for his analysis of the London cholera outbreak of 1854:

Snow considers the sources of drinking water, pumps distributed throughout the city, and draws a line labeled "Boundary of equal distance between Broad Street Pump and other Pumps," which essentially indicates the Broad Street Pump's Voronoi cell.

This map supports Snow's hypothesis that the cholera deaths are associated with contaminated water, in this case, from the Broad Street Pump. Snow recommends to the authorities that the pump handle be removed, after which action the cholera outbreak quickly ends.

▪ ...

16




## VORONOI DIAGRAM (6)

- Dirichlet uses Voronoi diagrams in his studies on quadratic equations in 1850.
- Voronoi diagrams are so called in honor of the Russian mathematician Georgy F. Voronoi, who defined and studied them in the  $n$ -dimensional space in 1908.
- They are also called *Thiessen polygons* in meteorology in honor of the US meteorologist Alfred H. Thiessen; *Wigner-Seitz cells* in physics, *fundamental domains* in group theory and *fundamental polygons* in topology.

17

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (7)


- Def. of **Voronoi Diagram**:
  - $\mathcal{P}$ : set of  $n$  distinct sites on the plane
  - $VD(\mathcal{P})$ : partition of the plane into  $n$  cells  $V_i$  such that:
    - each  $V_i$  contains exactly one site
    - if a point  $Q$  on the plane is in  $V_i$  then  $dist(Q, P_i) < dist(Q, P_j)$  for each  $P_j \in \mathcal{P}, j \neq i$ .



18

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (8)

- In other words:  $VD(\mathcal{P})$  is a partition of the plane into convex regions  $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ , such that  $V_i$  contains exactly one site  $P_i \in \mathcal{P}$  and for each other point in  $V_i$  the closest site in  $\mathcal{P}$  is  $P_i$ .



19

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (9)


Voronoi diagram of a single site



20

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (10)


Voronoi diagram of two sites



21

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (11)


Voronoi diagram of some colinear sites



22

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (12)


Voronoi diagram of 3 not colinear sites



23

## VORONOI DIAGRAM (13)

Voronoi diagram of 4 not colinear sites



24


## VORONOI DIAGRAM (14)

Not always 4 not colinear sites create a limited cell:

General position

assumption: each 3 sites are not colinear and each 4 sites are not cocircular.

Thanks to this assumption, all vertices have degree 3!




25

## VORONOI DIAGRAM PROPERTIES (1)

A point  $q$  on the plane lies on the Voronoi segment between  $p_i$  and  $p_j$  iff the largest empty circle centered in  $q$  touches only  $p_i$  and  $p_j$ .

- A Voronoi segment is a subset of a Voronoi axis, i.e. the set of point equally distant from  $p_i$  and  $p_j$

$p_i$  : sites of  $\mathcal{P}$   
 $e$  : Voronoi segment  
 $v$  : Voronoi vertex




26

## VORONOI DIAGRAM PROPERTIES (2)

A point  $q$  in the plane is a Voronoi vertex iff the largest empty circle centered in  $q$  touches at least 3 sites of  $\mathcal{P}$ .


A Voronoi vertex is the intersection of at least 3 axes, each generated by a pair of sites.

$p_i$  : sites of  $\mathcal{P}$   
 $e$  : Voronoi segment  
 $v$  : Voronoi vertex



27


## VORONOI DIAGRAM COMPLEXITY



28

## VORONOI DIAGRAM COMPLEXITY (1)

- **Th.:**  $|v| \leq 2n - 5$  and  $|e| \leq 3n - 6$  for each  $n \geq 3$ .
- **Proof:** (Easy case)



Colinear sites  $\rightarrow |v| = 0, |e| = n - 1$

29


## VORONOI DIAGRAM COMPLEXITY (2)

Proof of Th.:  $|v| \leq 2n - 5$  and  $|e| \leq 3n - 6$  for each  $n \geq 3$  - cntd.

**Proof:** (General case)

- Problem: A Voronoi diagram cannot be considered as a planar graph because some of its edges and faces are unlimited
- Solution: add a dummy node
- Now the Voronoi diagram is a planar and connected graph  $\rightarrow$  Euler formula:

$$|v| - |e| + f = 2$$



## VORONOI DIAGRAM COMPLEXITY (3)

Proof of Th.:  $|v| \leq 2n - 5$  and  $|e| \leq 3n - 6$  for each  $n \geq 3$  - cntd.

$f = n + 1$ . Euler formula becomes:

$$|v| - |e| + n + 1 = 2 \quad (1)$$

Moreover:  $\sum_{v \in VD} \deg(v) = 2|e|$

since  $\deg(v) \geq 3 \rightarrow 2|e| \geq 3|v| \quad (2)$

Joining (1) e (2):


$$|v| \leq 2n - 5$$

$$|e| \leq 3n - 6$$



31

## THE DUAL PROBLEM OF THE VORONOI DIAGRAM




32



## THE DUAL PROBLEM OF THE VORONOI DIAGR.


- The dual problem w.r.t. the decomposition of the plane into Voronoi cell is the **Delaunay triangulation** (obtained intersecting each Voronoi axis with a segment joining the generating sites)



33

## DELAUNAY TRIANGULATION (1)


- Obs.** Dual segments not necessarily intersect!



34

## DELAUNAY TRIANGULATION (2)

- Property:** the circle circumscribed to a Delaunay triangle does not contain any site inside it




35

## DELAUNAY TRIANGULATION (3)

- Property:** no segment can be illegal.
- A segment is **illegal** if:  

$$\min \alpha_i < \min \beta_i$$
- If  $e$  is an illegal edge, then it is possible to swap the triangles to get a Delaunay triangulation.s



36


## DELAUNAY TRIANGULATION (4)

- Some papers exploit a Delaunay triangulation to route sensors towards a position contributing to a complete coverage.
- There are several algorithms to compute a Delaunay triangulation -> Possible lesson
- The Voronoi Diagram can be computed as dual construction of the Delaunay triangulation.
- Otherwise...

37


## ALGORITHM BASED ON THE INTERSECTION OF HALF-PLANES (1)

A Voronoi cell can be obtained repeatedly intersecting opportune half-planes:




39

## ALGORITHMS TO COMPUTE THE VORONOI DIAGRAM



38


## ALGORITHM BASED ON THE INTERSECTION OF HALF-PLANES (2)



40

## ALGORITHM BASED ON THE INTERSECTION OF HALF-PLANES (3)

This operation needs to be iterated for each site.



41

## ALGORITHM BASED ON THE INTERSECTION OF HALF-PLANES (4)

How much does it cost to determine the intersection of a certain number  $k$  of halfplanes?

From computational geometry, a possible algorithm exploits the *divide-et-impera* technique...

42

## ALGORITHM BASED ON THE INTERSECTION OF HALF-PLANES (5)

### Divide:

The set of  $k$  halfplanes is recursively split until  $k$  single halfplanes are obtained (Note: binary tree structure).

### Impera:

The halfplane on each leaf is intersected with a rectangle  $R$  (the search space). In this way, each leaf contains now a polygon.

### Combine:

Recursively, bottom-up, compute the intersection of two sibling polygons and put the result on the father node.

43

## ALGORITHM BASED ON THE INTERSECTION OF HALF-PLANES (6)

### Time Complexity of Combine:

Let  $p$  and  $p'$  be the number of vertices of two general polygons that have to be intersected at some step of the algorithm. This can be done in  $O(p+p')$  time.

It can be proved that the time complexity of the whole algorithm is  $O(k \log k)$  – where  $k$  is the # of halfplanes to be intersected – and this is optimum because the sorting problem (using comparisons) can be reduced to the intersection of halfplanes.

44

## ALGORITHM BASED ON THE INTERSECTION OF HALF-PLANES (7)

Time Complexity of the whole algorithm to compute the Voronoi diagram:

in order to find a single cell,  $O(n)$  halfplanes need to be intersected, so  $O(n \log n)$  per cell and  $O(n^2 \log n)$  for the whole algorithm.

45


## INTUITION (2)

- Idea: use a well known technique in computational geometry.
- The **sweep line** is used to solve geometrical bidimensional problems through a sequence of almost onedimensional subproblems.
- **Example**: [Bentley, Ottmann'79] Compute the intersection points of  $n$  segments sweeping the plane with a horizontal line.
- When the sweep line moves, it encounters objects, and the algorithm solves the single problem related to each single object.

47

## INTUITION (1)

Not all the site pairs give raise to an axis!



46

## INTUITION (3)


- This method cannot work as it is for Voronoi diagrams, because it would be necessary to “predict” the site position before the sweep line encounters them.
- Fortune [1986] designed an algorithm based on a different line, called **beach line**.

48



## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (1)


- Idea: instead of considering the distance between sites, we introduce a line sweeping the plane (**sweep line**) helping us to compare distances.
- Somehow, this line “discovers” the Voronoi diagram on the just swept plane portion.
- **Note.** Given any point  $p$  and any external line  $l$ , the set of points equally distant from  $p$  and  $l$  is a parabola  $P_{p,l}$ .



49


## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (2)

- Consider any point  $q=(q_x, q_y)$ .
- The sweep line is horizontal and its  $y$ -coordinate is  $l_y$ . Hence  $\text{dist}(q,l)=l_y-q_y$ .
- Given another point  $p$ ,  $q$  lies on the parabola generated by  $p$  and  $l$  iff  $\text{dist}(q,p)=l_y-q_y$ .
- More in general:
  - $\text{dist}(q,p) < l_y - q_y$  if  $q$  lies above the parabola
  - $\text{dist}(q,p) = l_y - q_y$  if  $q$  lies on the parabola
  - $\text{dist}(q,p) > l_y - q_y$  if  $q$  lies under the parabola



## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (3)


- The sweep line goes down.
- At each instant, consider the sites above the sweep line and the parabolas they define with the sweep line.
- Example:



51

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (4)

- Define the **beach line** as the line formed by the union of the lower parabola arches.
- In other words: each vertical line crosses many parabolas; the lower intersection point belongs to the beach line.
- Note. Each arch of the beach line is associated with a site above the sweep line.



To see an animation of the beach line:


<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k2P9yWSMaXE>

Nice videos at:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7eCrHA6sYY>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Y5X1TvN9TpM>

52



## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (5)

- Notice that if a point is above the beach line, it is closer to one of the sites above the sweep line than to the sweep line itself.
- In other words, this point lies inside the Voronoi cell of a site that the sweep line has already encountered.
- Hence, the Voronoi diagram above the beach line is completely determined by the sites above the sweep line.

54

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (6)

Let us determine the condition such that the beach line passes through any point  $q$ .

If  $q$  is touched by the portion of beach line generated by  $p_i$ , it will enter in the Voronoi cell of  $p_i$ . So:

$$\text{dist}(q, p_1) \leq \text{dist}(q, p_i) \text{ for any other } i \neq 1.$$

Point  $q$  lies on the parabola generated by  $p_1$  and  $l$  iff

$$\text{dist}(q, p_1) = l_y - q_y.$$

55

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (7)

...

Joining the inequality and the condition:

$$\text{dist}(q, p_i) \geq \text{dist}(q, p_1) = l_y - q_y = \text{dist}(q, l).$$

Remind that  $\text{dist}(q, p) > \text{dist}(q, l)$  if  $q$  lies under  $P_{p,l}$

So,  $q$  is on  $P_{p_1,l}$  and under any other parabola  $P_{p_i,l}$  i.e.  $q$  is on the beach line. In other words:

When a point appears on the beach line, it is on the parabola associated to its closest site

56

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (8)


- Points on the beach line lying at the intersection of two parabola archs are called *breakpoints*.
- Breakpoints are at the same time closest to two sites. In other words,

Breakpoints lie on the segments of the Voronoi diagram

- In order to construct the Voronoi diagram, it is enough to keep trace of the breakpoints.

57

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (9)




Determine segments:

- A pair of breakpoints, corresponding to a segment in the Voronoi diagram, appear on the beach line exactly when the sweep line encounters a new site  $\Rightarrow$  site event.

58

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (10)



Determine vertices:

- While the sweep line moves, breakpoints move too, and they follow a segment; they reach a vertex when a parabola arch disappear.

59

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (11)

a new parabola arch appearing on the beach line:

Easy to detect: it appears when the sweep line encounters a site.

a parabola arch disappearing from the beach line


Easy to detect: when this arch is reduced to a single point  $x$ , it lies on 3 parabolas:

- The one containing the disappearing arch
- The one to its right
- The one to its left

So  $x$  is equally distant from 3 sites,

corresponding to these 3 parabola arches  $\Rightarrow$  a circle centered at  $x$  passes through these 3 sites.

- We determine a Voronoi vertex when the sweep line has finished to sweep this circle  $\Rightarrow$  circle event.



60

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (12)

### Fortune Algorithm

- Resume: In order to determine segments and vertices of the Voronoi diagram, keep trace of the parabola arches appearing and disappearing on the beach line.
- Imagine to walk on the beach line left to right and sort the order of the sites producing the parabola arches on it.
- This order cannot change until either a site event or a circle event happens.
- Breakpoints are implicitly stored as intersections of parabola arches on the beach line.

61

## TIME COMPLEXITY

### Time complexity analysis

(typical scheme of all the algorithms based on the sweep line)

- Each event takes  $O(1)$  time to be detected + a constant number of accesses to the data structures to be stored.
- Each data structure contains  $O(n)$  information
- Each one of these accesses costs  $O(\log n)$  time
- The whole time complexity is  $O(n \log n)$ , and the occupied space is  $O(n)$ .
- This time complexity is optimum because the sorting problem (based on comparisons) can be reduced to the computation of the Voronoi diagram.

63

## FORTUNE ALGORITHM (13)


Fortune algorithm (cntd.)

- If the next event encountered by the beach line is:
  - A site event, insert the new site in the list of sites in the order indicated by its parabola arch and store a new segment in the Voronoi diagram.
  - a circle event, store both the new Voronoi vertex and the information that it is an extreme of the segments corresponding to two breakpoints joining in a single point.
- In both cases, verify whether a new triple of sites producing a next circle event has been discovered.
- The Voronoi diagram is computed considering the (finite) sequence of these events.

62

## CONCLUSIONS

- Fortune algorithm is an efficient way to compute the Voronoi diagram.
- Whatever algorithm you use, it is reasonable to think that the time complexity grows up with the growth of the number of sites.
- The algorithm based on the intersection of halfplanes runs in  $O(n^2 \log n)$  time if there are  $n$  sites.
- Fortune algorithm runs in  $O(n \log n)$  time.






## HETEROGENEOUS SENSORS



65

### LIMITATIONS OF THE PROTOCOLS BASED ON VORONOI CELLS (1)

- The protocol based on the construction of Voronoi cells would assign:
  - The left halfplane to  $s_1$  (included the blue zone)
  - The right halfplane to  $s_2$



67

## HETEROGENEOUS SENSORS

Sensors are not necessarily all equal. We speak about a heterogeneous sensor network if:

- the devices are different
- The sensing and communicating ability depend on their position (not smooth terrain, obstacles, ...)

The previously described approaches (based on virtual forces and on Voronoi cells) do not work well with heterogeneous sensors:


- Virtual forces: forces depend on the distance
- Voronoi: cells do not take into account the coverage capability

66

### LIMITATIONS OF THE PROTOCOLS BASED ON VORONOI CELLS (2)


Stale situation:

- the sensors on the left (big circles) do not move since they completely cover their cells
- the sensors on the right (small circles) do not move since their circles are completely used to cover a portion of their cell (in other words, their coverage capacity is maximized).



68

## LIMITATIONS OF THE PROTOCOLS BASED ON VORONOI CELLS (3)




(video HetVor)

69

## A NEW NOTION OF DISTANCE

- In the known algorithms, the heterogeneity is ignored
- We introduce a **new notion of distance** keeping into account:
  - ♦ The Euclidean distance
  - ♦ The heterogeneity of the devices
- There are many possibilities, but we aim at having:
  - ♦ Diagrams with straight edges (convex polygons)
  - ♦ a distance whose set of points equally distant from two sensors contains the intersection of their sensing circles



70

## LAGUERRE DISTANCE (1)

[W. Blaschke. Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie III. Springer Berlin. 1929]

- Defined in  $\mathcal{R}^3$
- Given two points  $P=(x,y,z)$  and  $Q=(x',y',z')$ , their **Laguerre distance** is:
  - ♦  $d_L^2(P,Q)=(x-x')^2+(y-y')^2-(z-z')^2$
- $P$  can be seen as the (oriented) circle centered at  $(x,y)$  and having radius  $|z|$

71


## LAGUERRE DISTANCE (2)

- Given two circles  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , centered at  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  respectively, and with radii  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , their Laguerre distance is:
  - ♦  $d_L^2(\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2) = d_E^2(C_1, C_2) - (r_1 - r_2)^2$
- The Laguerre distance between a point  $P=(x,y)$  and a circle  $\mathcal{C}=(x',y',r)$  is:
  - ♦  $d_L^2(P, \mathcal{C}) = (x-x')^2 + (y-y')^2 - r^2$

72

## LAGUERRE DISTANCE (3)

**Lemma.** Given two circles  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  centered at  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  ( $C_1 \neq C_2$ ) and radii  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , the sets of point equally distant from  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is a straight line (called **radical axis**) orthogonal to the segment joining  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  and at distance  $k$  from  $C_1$ , where

$$k = \frac{d_E(C_1, C_2)}{2} + \frac{r_1^2 - r_2^2}{2d_E(C_1, C_2)}$$


73

## LAGUERRE DISTANCE (5)

**Lemma.** Given two circles  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$  centered at  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  ( $C_1 \neq C_2$ ) and having radii  $r_1$  and  $r_2$ , their centers lie on the same side w.r.t. the radical axis if and only if

$$d_E^2(C_1, C_2) < |r_1^2 - r_2^2|.$$


**Proof.** The axis can lie either to the right or to the left.

• Right:  $k = \frac{d_E(C_1, C_2)}{2} + \frac{r_1^2 - r_2^2}{2d_E(C_1, C_2)} \geq d_E(C_1, C_2)$

$$d_E^2(C_1, C_2) \leq r_1^2 - r_2^2 \Rightarrow r_1 \geq r_2$$

• Left:  $\frac{d_E(C_1, C_2)}{2} + \frac{r_1^2 - r_2^2}{d_E(C_1, C_2)} \leq 0$

$$d_E^2(C_1, C_2) \leq r_2^2 - r_1^2 \Rightarrow r_2 \geq r_1$$



75

## LAGUERRE DISTANCE (4)


**Proof.** Consider the set of points  $P(t) = (x(t), y(t))$  equally distant from  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$ , i.e. such that

$$d_L(P(t), \mathcal{C}_1) = d_L(P(t), \mathcal{C}_2).$$

- If  $C_1 = C_2$  and  $r_1 = r_2 \Rightarrow P(t)$  is the whole plane
- If  $C_1 = C_2$  and  $r_1 \neq r_2 \Rightarrow P(t)$  is the empty set
- If  $C_1 \neq C_2$ :

$$x(t)^2 + y(t)^2 - r_1^2 = (d_E(C_1, C_2) - x(t))^2 + y(t)^2 - r_2^2$$


$$d_L^2(P, \mathcal{C}) = (x - x')^2 + (y - y')^2 - r^2$$



74

## LAGUERRE DISTANCE (6)

Possible positions of the radical axis of two circles  $\mathcal{C}_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}_2$




76

## VORONOI-LAGUERRE DIAGRAM (1)

Voronoi-Laguerre diagram of  $C_1, \dots, C_n$ :

$$V_i = \cap \{p \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid d_L^2(C_i, p) \leq d_L^2(C_j, p)\}$$

[H. Imai, M. Iri, K. Murota. "Voronoi Diagram in the Laguerre Geometry and its Applications". SIAM J. Comput. 14(1), 93-105. 1985]



They have similarities and differences w.r.t. the classical Voronoi diagrams...

75

## VORONOI-LAGUERRE DIAGRAM (2)

Similarities:



- Voronoi-Laguerre polygons partition the plane
- $V_i$  is always convex because it is the intersection of some halfplanes
- if  $r_i=0$  for each  $i=1, \dots, n$ , the Voronoi-Laguerre diagram is in fact the classical Voronoi diagram.

76

## VORONOI-LAGUERRE DIAGRAM (3)

Differences:

- $C_i$  can be **external** to  $V_i$  (see  $C_2$ )
- $V_i$  can be **empty** (e.g. if  $C_i$  is inside the union of other circles - see  $C_3$ )



79

## VORONOI-LAGUERRE DIAGRAM (4)

- **Theorem.** Given  $n$  circles  $C_i$  centered at  $C_i=(x_i, y_i)$  and having radii  $r_i$ ,  $i=1, \dots, n$ , let  $V_i$  be their Voronoi-Laguerre polygons.

For each  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $V_i \cap C_j \subseteq C_i$ .

In other words, the intersection of  $V_i$  with a circle  $C_j$  is included in  $C_i$ .

80



## VORONOI-LAGUERRE DIAGRAM (5)

**Proof.** By contradiction, assume that there exists a point  $P \subseteq V_i$  covered by  $\mathcal{C}_j$  but non by  $\mathcal{C}_i$  for some  $j \neq i$ .

- Since  $P \subseteq V_i$  it holds  $d_L(P, \mathcal{C}_i) < d_L(P, \mathcal{C}_j)$  for each  $j \neq i$ , i.e.

$$d_E^2(P, C_i) - r_i^2 < d_E^2(C_j, P) - r_j^2$$

- Since  $P$  is in  $\mathcal{C}_j$  but non in  $\mathcal{C}_i$ ,

$$d_E^2(P, C_j) \leq r_j^2 \text{ and } d_E^2(P, C_i) > r_i^2$$

- Combining:

$$d_E^2(P, C_i) - d_E^2(P, C_j) < d_E^2(P, C_i) - r_i^2 < d_E^2(C_j, P) - r_j^2 \leq r_j^2 - r_j^2 = 0 < 0$$

Contradiction. ■

81


## ALGORITHM BASED ON VORONOI-LAGUERRE DIAGRAM (1)

Algorithm executed by each sensor  $s_i$ :


- Compute  $V_i$
- If  $s_i$  is inside  $V_i$ , move toward the minimax (at most by  $d_i^{max} = r_{tx}/2 - r_i$  where  $r_{tx} = \min_i r_i^{tx}$ ) if the coverage of  $V_i$  is increased
- If  $s_i$  is outside  $V_i$ , move toward the minimax (by at most  $d_i^{max} = r_{tx}/2 - r_i$ )
- if  $V_i$  is empty, do nothing.

82

## ALGORITHM BASED ON VORONOI-LAGUERRE DIAGRAM (2)



## ALGORITHM BASED ON VORONOI-LAGUERRE DIAGRAM (3)




(video HetVorLag)

84

## PROPERTIES OF THE ALGORITHM (1)

Obs.:


- ♦ “local” polygon ≠ “global” polygon and the set of local polygons do not constitute a partition!



85

## PROPERTIES OF THE ALGORITHM (2)

- We define a curve polygon  $V'_i$  generated intersecting the “local” polygon with the circle of radius  $d_i^{max} + r_i = r_{tx}/2$ .



86

## PROPERTIES OF THE ALGORITHM (3)

- **Lemma.**  $V'_i \cap V'_j = \emptyset \quad \forall i \neq j$
- **Lemma.**  $\forall i \neq j, V'_i \cap \mathcal{Q}_j \subseteq \mathcal{Q}_i$

In other words, each curve polygon can be covered by the sensor generating it better than by any other sensor.

87

## PROPERTIES OF THE ALGORITHM (4)

**Th.** The algorithm converges.

**Proof.** Let  $V'_i{}^{(k)}$  be the curve polygon of  $s_i$  at round  $k$ .

- Let  $A_i^{(k)}$  and  $A_i^{(k)}(s_i)$  be the areas covered inside  $V'_i{}^{(k)}$  by all the sensors and by the sole sensor  $s_i$  at round  $k$ , respectively. Let  $A_i'^{(k)}$  be the covered area considering the positions of the sensors at round  $k+1$ .
- Obs.  $A_i'^{(k)} \neq A_i^{(k+1)}$
- Let  $A^{(k)}_{total}$  be the area covered by the Aoi by all the sensors.
- We have to prove that  $A^{(k)}_{total} < A^{(k+1)}_{total}$

88

## PROPERTIES OF THE ALGORITHM (5)

Proof. (cntd.)

- $\mathcal{A}^{(k)} = \{V_1^{(k)}, V_2^{(k)}, \dots, A_o \setminus \cup_i V_i^{(k)}\}$  is a partition of the AoI.
- $A_o \setminus \cup_i V_i^{(k)}$  is constituted by points that are uncovered and cannot be covered in a single round; it does not contribute to  $A^{(k)}_{total}$ .
- $A^{(k)}_{total} = \sum_i A_i^{(k)}$
- $A_i^{(k)} = A_i^{(k)}(s_i)$  (by the previous lemma)
- $A_i^{(k)}(s_i) < A_i^{(k)}(s_i)$  (by the algorithm)
- $A_i^{(k)}(s_i) \leq A_i^{(k)}(s_i)$
- Hence:  $A^{(k)}_{total} = \sum_i A_i^{(k)} < \sum_i A_i^{(k)}$
- Since the coverage at round  $k+1$  does not depend on the partition:  $\sum_i A_i^{(k+1)} = A^{(k+1)}_{total}$

■

89

## PROPERTIES OF THE ALGORITHM (6)

- Convergence does not imply termination.
- In order to guarantee termination, we introduce a minimum movement threshold  $\varepsilon$ , so that sensors do not move if they are supposed to do less than  $\varepsilon$ .
- **Corollary.** The algorithm, with the addition of the minimum movement threshold, terminates.

90

## OPEN PROBLEMS

- Obstacles and terrain asperities
  - ♦ Anisotropy
  - ♦ Movement obstacles
- AOI with complex shape
  - ♦ concave regions and corridors
- ...

91