# Introduction to 802.11 Wireless LANs

Stefano Basagni, <u>s.basagni@neu.edu</u>

Basato su slide di Giuseppe Bianchi

——— Giuseppe Bianchi ——

# **WLAN History**

#### → Early Wireless LAN proprietary products

 $\Rightarrow$  WaveLAN (AT&T)

 $\rightarrow$  the ancestor of 802.11

⇒ HomeRF (Proxim)

 $\rightarrow$  Support for Voice, unlike 802.11

 $\rightarrow$  45% of the home network in 2000; 30% in 2001, ...  $\epsilon$ % today

 $\rightarrow$  Abandoned by major chip makers (e.g. Intel: dismissed in april 2001)

#### → IEEE 802.11 Committee formed in 1990

⇒ Charter: specification of MAC and PHY for WLAN

### → First standard: june 1997

 $\rightarrow$  1 and 2 Mbps operation

#### → Reference standard: september 1999

⇒ Multiple Physical Layers

- $\rightarrow$  2.4GHz Industrial, Scientific & Medical shared unlicensed band
  - » Legacy; 802.11b/g
- $\rightarrow$  5 GHz ISM (802.11a)

# → 1999: Wireless Ethernet Compatibility Alliance (WECA) certification

- ⇒ Later on named Wi-Fi
- ⇒ Boosted 802.11 deployment!!

# Why so much talking about of 802.11 today?

## → 802.11: no more "just" a WLAN

### → Hot-spots (and, more recently, hot-zones)

- ⇒ Where the user goes, the network is available: home, school, office, hotel, university, airport, convention center...
- ⇒ Freedom to roam with seamless connectivity in every domain, with single client device
- → Compete (complement) with 4G for Wireless Internet access



Which of these two is the proper (closer) picture of Wireless Internet and Mobile Computing? Which technology is most suited?



= Giuseppe Bianchi



# The 1999 revolution: PHY layer impressive achievements...

### $\rightarrow$ 802.11a: PHY for 5 GHz

 $\rightarrow$  published in 1999

 $\rightarrow$  Products available since early 2002

### $\rightarrow$ 802.11b: higher rate PHY for 2.4 GHz

 $\rightarrow$  Published in 1999

 $\rightarrow$  Products available since 1999

 $\rightarrow$ Interoperability tested (wifi)

## → 802.11g: OFDM for 2.4 GHz

 $\rightarrow$  Published in june 2003

 $\rightarrow$  Products available, though no extensive interoperability testing yet

#### → 802.11n: "multi-streaming modulation technique"(Higher data rate)

 $\rightarrow$  Launched in september 2003, standards in 2007/2009

→Minimum goal: 108 Mbps (but higher numbers considered)

 $\rightarrow$  Support for space division multiple access and smart antennas?

 $\rightarrow$  Claims for solutions @ 1 gbps ...

# PHY rates at a glance

Standard	Transfer Method	Frequency Band	Data Rates Mbps
802.11 legacy	FHSS, DSSS	2.4 GHz	1, 2
802.11b	DSSS, HR-DSSS	2.4 GHz	1, 2, 5.5, 11
"802.11b+" non-standard	DSSS, HR-DSSS	2.4 GHz	1, 2, 5.5, 11, 22, 33, 44
802.11a	OFDM	5.2, 5.5 GHz	6, 9, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 54
802.11g	DSSS, HR-DSSS, OFDM	2.4 GHz	1, 2, 5.5, 11; 6, 9, 12, 18, 24, 36, 48, 54

## 802.11 Nets: Basic Service Set (BSS)

group of stations that can communicate with each other

## →Infrastructure BSS

⇒or, simply, BSS
 ⇒Stations connected through AP



→Independent BSS

- ⇔or IBSS
- Stations connected in ad-hoc mode



— Giuseppe Bianchi

## Frame Forwarding in a BSS





BSS: AP = relay function No direct communication allowed!

IBSS: direct communication between all pairs of STAs

— Giuseppe Bianchi

# Why AP = relay function?

## → Management:

⇒ Mobile stations do NOT neet to maintain neighbohr relationship with other MS in the area

→But only need to make sure they remain properly associated to the AP

## → Power Saving:

⇒ APs may assist MS in their power saving functions

→by buffering frames dedicated to a (sleeping) MS when it is in PS mode

# →Obvious disadvantage: use channel bandwidth twice...

## **Extended Service Set**



ESS: created by merging different BSS through a network infrastructure (possibly overlapping BSS

- to offer a continuous coverage area) Stations within ESS MAY communicate each other via Layer 2 Procedures; APs acting as bridges

MUST be on a same LAN or switched LAN or VLAN (no routers)

——— Giuseppe Bianchi =

## The concept of Distribution System



Ethernet backbone: Distribution system medium (but DS is mor e than just a medium!!) DS role:

- track where an MS is registrered within an ESS area
- deliver frame to MS

—— Giuseppe Bianchi —

## **Association and DS**



DS implementation:

- an AP must inform other APs of associated MSs MAC addresse
- proprietary implementation  $\rightarrow$  no interoperability (must use A)
- standardized protocol on the way (?): IAPP (802.11f)
  - 802.11f Working Practice Standard: june 2003

=== Giuseppe Bianchi =

## **Wireless Distribution System**



Resulting AP = wireless bridge

— Giuseppe Bianchi

## 802.11 MAC CSMA/CA Distributed Coordination Function

= Giuseppe Bianchi =

# **Wireless Ethernet**

### → 802.3 (Ethernet)

- $\Rightarrow$  CSMA/CD
  - →Carrier Sense Multiple Access
  - $\rightarrow$ Collision Detect

## → 802.11(wireless LAN)

- $\Rightarrow$  CSMA/CA
- ⇒ (Distributed Coordination Function)
   →Carrier Sense Multiple Access
   →Collision Avoidance



- → Both A and C sense the channel idle at the same time → they send at the same time.
- → Collision can be detected at sender in Ethernet.
- → Why this is not possible in 802.11?
  - 1. Either TX or RX (no simultaneous RX/TX)
  - 2. Large amount of power difference in Tx and Rx (even if simultaneous txrx, no possibility in rx while tx-ing)
  - 3. Wireless medium = additional problems vs broadcast cable!!

= Giuseppe Bianchi

# **Hidden Terminal Problem**

- ➔ Large difference in signal strength; physical channel impairments (shadowing)
  - ⇒ It may result that two stations in the same area cannot communicate
- ➔ Hidden terminals
  - ⇒ A and C cannot hear each other
  - ⇒ A transmits to B
  - ⇒ C wants to send to B; C cannot receive A;C senses "idle" medium (Carrier Sense fails)
  - ⇒ Collision occurs at B.
  - ⇒ A cannot detect the collision (Collision Detection fails).
  - $\Rightarrow$  A is "hidden" to C.



# 802.11 MAC approach

## →Still based on Carrier Sense:

 $\rightarrow$ Listen before talking

# →But collisions can only be inferred afterwards, at the receiver

→Receivers see corrupted data through a CRC error
→Transmitters fail to get a response

# Two-way handshaking mechanism to infer collisions

⇒DATA-ACK packets



Giuseppe Bianchi

# **Channel Access details**

# →A station can transmit only if it senses the channel IDLE for a DIFS time

⇒DIFS = Distributed Inter Frame Space



### → Key idea: DATA and ACK separated by a different Inter Frame Space

⇔ SIFS = Short Inter Frame Space

Second station cannot hear a whole DIFS, as SIFS<DIFS</p>

——— Giuseppe Bianchi

## DIFS & SIFS in wi-fi

# $\rightarrow$ DIFS = 50 µs

⇒Rationale: 1 SIFS + 2 slot-times

 $\rightarrow$  Slot time = 20 µs, more later

## $\rightarrow$ SIFS = 10 $\mu$ s

⇒Rationale: RX\_TX turnaround time



**RULE**: when the channel is initially sensed BUSY, station defers transmission; But when it is sensed IDLE for a DIFS, defer transmission of a further random time (BACKOFF TIME)

Giuseppe Bianchi



Note: slot times are not physically delimited on the channel! Rather, they are logically identified by every STA

Slot-time values: 20µs for DSSS (wi-fi) Accounts for: 1) RX\_TX turnaround time 2) busy detect time 3) propagation delay

— Giuseppe Bianchi

# **Backoff freezing**

## $\rightarrow$ When STA is in backoff stage:

⇒ It freezes the backoff counter as long as the channel is sensed BUSY

⇒ It restarts decrementing the backoff as the channel is sensed IDLE for a DIFS period



# Why backoff between consecutive tx?

- → A listening station would never find a slot-time after the DIFS (necessary to decrement the backoff counter)
- → Thus, it would remain stuck to the current backoff counter value forever!!



# **Backoff rules**

## $\rightarrow$ First backoff value:

 $\Rightarrow$  Extract a uniform random number in range (0,CW<sub>min</sub>)

## →If unsuccessful TX:

 $\Rightarrow$  Extract a uniform random number in range (0,2×(CW<sub>min</sub>+1)-1)

## →If unsuccessful TX:

 $\Rightarrow$  Extract a uniform random number in range (0,2<sup>2</sup>×(CW<sub>min</sub>+1)-1)

## $\rightarrow$ Etc up to $2^{m} \times (CW_{min} + 1) - 1$

Exponential Backoff! CWmin = 31 CWmax = 1023 (m=5)

— Giuseppe Bianchi

# **Further backoff rules**

### $\rightarrow$ Truncated exponential backoff

- ⇒ After a number of attempts, transmission fails and frame is dropped
- ⇒ Backoff process for new frame restarts from CWmin
- ⇒ Protects against cannel capture
  - →unlikely when stations are in visibility, but may occur in the case of hidden stations

### → Two retry limits suggested:

- $\Rightarrow$  Short retry limit (4), apply to frames below a given threshold
- $\Rightarrow$  Long retry limit (7), apply to frames above given threshold
- ⇒ (loose) rationale: short frames are most likely generated bu realk time stations
  - $\rightarrow$  Of course not true in general; e.g. what about 40 bytes TCP ACKs?

# **Throughput vs CWmin**



# **RTS/CTS**

# Request-To-Send / Clear-To-Send 4-way handshaking

⇒Versus 2-way handshaking of basic access mechanism

# $\rightarrow$ Introduced for two reasons

⇒Combat hidden terminal

⇒Improve throughput performance with long packets

#### **RTS/CTS and hidden terminals Packet** arrival DIFS ΤX RTS DATA **SIFS** SIFS SIFS CTS ACK RX others NAV (RTS) NAV (CTS) RX $\mathbf{X}$ RTS *RTS/CTS: carry the amount of time the channel* CTS will be BUSY. Other stations may update a hidden *Network Allocation Vector, and defer TX* even if they sense the channel idle (Update NAV) (Virtual Carrier Sensing) Giuseppe Bianchi

# **Exposed Nodes**

- →Any node within carrier sense range of transmitter and out of interference range of receiver
- $\rightarrow$  Prevents simultaneous transmissions
- $\rightarrow$  Reduction in Spatial Reuse



## Is exposed node a problem?

## $\rightarrow$ Not really!

# →Remember that DCF handshake is asynchronous...



# **DCF Overhead**

—— Giuseppe Bianchi —





—— Giuseppe Bianchi

## **Frame formats**

#### DATA FRAME (28 bytes excluded address 4)

Frame Control	Duration / ID Address 1	Address 2	Address 3	Sequence Control	Address 4	Data	FCS
------------------	----------------------------	-----------	-----------	---------------------	-----------	------	-----

#### RTS (20 bytes)

Frame Control	Duration	RA	ТА	FCS

CTS	/ ACK	(14 bytes	5)
Frame Control	Duration	RA	FCS

——— Giuseppe Bianchi —



Giuseppe Bianchi