

PACKET-ROUTING ON INTERCONNECTION TOPOLOGIES (2)

Many different types of routing models.

Here, we will focus on the store-and-forward model (also known as the packet-switching model):

- Each packet is maintained as an entity that is passed from node to node as it moves through the network
- A single packet can cross each edge during each step of the routing
- Depending on the algorithm, we may or may not allow packets to pile up in <u>queues</u> located at each node. When queues are allowed: effort to keep them short.

PACKET-ROUTING ON INTERCONNECTION TOPOLOGIES (1)

- Up to now, in the routing problem we have considered the network as a graph unknown to the nodes and variable in time (faults, varying traffic, etc.)
- Nevertheless, when the network is an interconnection topology (and connects, for example, processors), it is known and fixed in time. Furthermore, efficiency is a primary issue.
- Solutions having stronger properties than the simple shortest path algs are required.

43

PACKET-ROUTING ON INTERCONNECTION TOPOLOGIES (3)

- Global controller to precompute routing paths not allowed
- Problem handled using only local control
- A routing problem is called <u>one-to-one</u> if at most one packet must be addressed to every node and each packet has a different destination.
- In contrast, one-to-many and many-to-one

BUTTERFLY NETWORK (1)

- **Def.** Let $N=2^n$ (hence n=log N); the *n*-dimensional Butterfly is a layered graph with:
 - N(n+1) nodes (n+1 layers with 2^n nodes each) and
 - 2Nn edges.

Nodes:

. . .

nodes correspond to pairs (*w*, *i*), where:

- *i* is the *layer* of the node
- w is an n-bit binary number that denotes the row of the node.



BUTTERFLY NETWORK (2)

def. of *n*-dimensional butterfly (cntd)

... Edges:

Two nodes $(w, i) \in (w', i')$ are linked by an edge iff i'=i+1 and either: $\circ w=w'$ (straight edge) or

 ow and w' differ in precisely the *i*th bit (cross edge)



BUTTERFLY NETWORK (3)

- The nodes of the Butterfly are *crossbar switches,* i.e. switches with two input and two output values and can assume two states, *cross* and *bar*.
- Hence, the butterfly can be seen as a switching network connecting $2N (N=2^n)$ input units to 2N output units trough a logN+1 layered network, having N nodes each.
- Input and output devices are usually processors and are often omitted in the graphical representations for the sake of simplicity.





The butterfly has a simple recursive structure:

one *n*-dim. butterfly contains two (n-1)-dim. butterflies as subgraphs (just remove either the layer 0 nodes or the layer nnodes of the *n*-dim. butterfly to get two (n-1)-dimensional butterflies).



BUTTERFLY NETWORK (5)

For each pair of rows w and w', there exists a unique path of length n (known as greedy path) from (w,0) to (w', n);

this path passes through each layer exactly once, using a cross-edge from layer *i* to layer i+1 (i=0,...,n) iff *w* and *w*' differ in the i-th bit and using a straight-edge otherwise.



50

ROUTING ON THE BUTTERFLY (2)

- Many greedy paths might pass through a single node or edge.
- Since only one of these packets can use the edge at a time, one of them must be delayed before crossing the edge.
- The butterfly is not able to route each permutation without delays, i.e. is a blocking network
- The congestion problem arising in this example is not overly serious. When N is larger, however, the problem can be much serious. In fact...



ROUTING ON THE BUTTERFLY (1)

- Problem of routing N packets from layer 0 to layer n in an n-dimensional butterfly:
- Each node (u,0) on layer 0 of the butterfly contains a packet that is destined for node $(\pi(u), n)$ on layer n, where $\pi:[1, N] \rightarrow [1, N]$ is a permutation.
- In the greedy routing algorithm, each packet is constrained to follow its greedy path.
- When there is only one packet to route, the greedy algorithm performs very well.
- Trouble can arise when many packets have to be routed in parallel...

51

ROUTING ON THE BUTTERFLY (3)

• Assume for simplicity *n* odd (but similar results hold when *n* is even), and consider edge

e = ((00...0, (n-1)/2), (00...0, (n+1)/2))

- Node (00...0, (n-1)/2) is the root of a complete binary tree extending to the left having 2^{(n-1)/2} leaves
- Analogously to the right
- • •



ROUTING ON THE BUTTERFLY (4)

- • •
- The permutation can be such that <u>each greedy path</u> from a leaf of the left tree arrives to a leaf of the right tree traversing <u>e</u>
- There are $2^{(n-1)/2} = \sqrt{N/2}$ possible such paths, and thus $2^{(n-1)/2} = \sqrt{N/2}$ packets must traverse *e*. So at least one of them will be delayed by $\sqrt{N/2} 1$ steps.
- It takes at least *n*=log *N* steps to traverse the whole networks and to route a packet to its destination.
- In this case, the greedy algorithm can take $\sqrt{N/2}$ +log N-1 steps to route a permutation.
- In general...

ROUTING ON THE BUTTERFLY (6)

- • • •
- As this packet traverses layers 1, 2, ..., *n*, the total delay encountered can be at most:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (n_i - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{(n+1)/2} (n_i - 1) + \sum_{i=(n+3)/2}^{n} (n_i - 1) \le \sum_{i=1}^{(n+1)/2} (2^{i-1} - 1) + \sum_{i=(n+3)/2}^{n} (2^{n-i} - 1) \le \frac{(n+1)/2 + 1}{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{(n+1)/2} (2^{j-1}) = \sum_{j=0}^{(n+3)/2} (2^{j-1}) \le 2^{(n+1)/2} + 2^{(n-1)/2} - n = O(\sqrt{N}) - n = O(\sqrt{N})$$
recalling that

ROUTING ON THE BUTTERFLY (5)

- Th. Given any routing problem on an n-dimensional butterfly for which at most one packet starts at each layer 0 node and at most one packet is destined for each layer n node, the greedy algorithm will route all the packets to their destinations in $O(\sqrt{N})$ steps.
- **Proof.** For simplicity, assume n odd (but the case n even is similar)
- Let e be any edge in layer $i, 0 \le i \le n$, and define n_i to be the number of greedy paths that traverse e
- $n_i \leq 2^{i-1}$ (left tree) and, similarly, $n_i \leq 2^{n-i}$ (right tree)
- Any packet crossing e can only be delayed by the other n_i -l packets that want to cross the edge.
- • •

ROUTING ON THE BUTTERFLY (7)

- Despite the fact that the greedy routing algorithm performs poorly in the worst case, <u>the greedy</u> <u>algorithm is very useful in practice</u>.
- For many useful classes of permutations, the greedy algorithm runs in n steps, which is optimal and, for most permutations, the greedy algorithm runs in n + o(n) steps.
- As a consequence, the greedy algorithm is widely used in practice.

BENEŠ NETWORK (1)

- A possibility to avoid a routing with delays is providing a non blocking topology.
- Beneš network has this property
- It consists of two back-to-back butterflies



58

BENEŠ NETWORK (3)

- The reason for defining the Beneš network is that it is an excellent example of a rearrangeable network.
- **Def.** A network with N inputs and N outputs is said to be rearrangeable if for any one-to-one mapping π of the inputs to the outputs (i.e. for any permutation), we can construct edge-disjoint paths in the network linking the *i*-th input to the $\pi(i)$ -th output for $1 \le i \le N$.
- In the case of the *n*-dimensional Beneš network, we can have *two* inputs for each layer 0 node and *two* outputs for every layer 2n node, and still connect every permutation of inputs to outputs with edge-disjoint paths.
- Hence, in this case, # of inputs= 2^{n+1}

BENEŠ NETWORK (2)

- The *n*-dimensional Beneš network has 2n+1 layers, each with 2^n nodes.
- The first and last *n*+*1* layers in the network form an *n*-dimensional Butterfly (the middle layer is shared).
- Not surprisingly, the Beneš network is very similar to the Butterfly, in terms of both its computational power and its network structure.



59



BENEŠ NETWORK (5)

- It seems extraordinary that we can find edge-disjoint paths for <u>any</u> permutation. Nevertheless, the result is true, and it is even fairly easy to prove, as we show in the following:
- Th. Given any one-to-one mapping π of 2^{n+1} inputs to 2^{n+1} outputs on an r-dimensional Beneš network, there is a set of edge-disjoint paths from the inputs to the outputs connecting input i to output $\pi(i)$ for $1 \le i \le 2^{n+1}$.

Proof....

BENEŠ NETWORK (7) proof of the rearrangeability of the beneš network (cntd)



BENEŠ NETWORK (6)

PROOF OF THE REARRANGEABILITY OF THE BENEŠ NETWORK (CNTD)

Proof. By induction on *n*.

- <u>Basis</u>: if n=1, the Beneš network consists of a single node (i.e. a single 2×2 switch) and the result is obvious.
- Induction: assume that the result is true for an (n-1)dimensional Beneš network
- Key observation: the middle 2n-1 layers of an ndimensional Beneš network comprise two (n-1)dimensional Beneš networks
- • •

63